

Concentration Risk Measures and De-concentration Optimization

Luyang Fu, Ph.D., FCAS, MAAA

March 2011



Home

Business



Antitrust Notice

- The Casualty Actuarial Society is committed to adhering strictly to the letter and spirit of the antitrust laws. Seminars conducted under the auspices of the CAS are designed solely to provide a forum for the expression of various points of view on topics described in the programs or agendas for such meetings.
- Under no circumstances shall CAS seminars be used as a means for competing companies or firms to reach any understanding – expressed or implied – that restricts competition or in any way impairs the ability of members to exercise independent business judgment regarding matters affecting competition.
- It is the responsibility of all seminar participants to be aware of antitrust regulations, to prevent any written or verbal discussions that appear to violate these laws, and to adhere in every respect to the CAS antitrust compliance policy.

Agenda

- Introduction
- Risk measures
- Concentration risk measures (CRM)
- Capital and PML allocation
- Optimal de-concentration: a case study
- > Q&A

1. Introduction

Bad loss ratios on property lines, especially homeowners

- Worst performance line of business
- Lost money in 8 of last 10 years
- Increasing losses from wind-hail perils
 - Soaring catastrophe loss ratios in recent years
 - Experienced 35 of the 37 catastrophe events identified by Property Claim Services (PCS) in 2008

Strategies to Mitigate Catastrophe Risk

- Rate Increase
- Predictive Models
- Higher all-peril and wind-hail deductibles
- ITV and building inspection
- Cat reinsurance and aggregate reinsurance
- Risk De-concentration

Concentration Risk: Traditional Approach

- >A marketing type of method
- ➤The insurer's exposures or TIV (total insurance value) in a region
- Total exposures or TIV

If a region's exposure percentage is significantly higher than average, then overconcentration, vice versa

>Not directly related to risk appetites

Typical risk appetites for P&C insurers

- >X% chance of GAAP ROE below –YY% on an annual basis
- X% risk of falling below YYY BCAR (financial downgrade)
- X% risk of falling below authorized control level RBC (government takeover)
- Cat loss PML for a 1-in-XXX year event, net of reinsurance, won't deplete beginning of year surplus by more than YY%

Variance and standard deviation Not downside risk measures > Desirable swings are also treated as risk >VaR (Value-at-Risk), TVaR, XTVaR VaR: predetermined percentile point TVaR: expected value when loss>VAR XTVaR: TVaR-mean

Lower partial moment and downside variance

$$LPM(L|T,k) = \int_{T}^{\infty} (L-T)^{k} dF(L)$$

➤T is the maximum acceptable losses, benchmark for "downside"

>k is the risk perception parameter to large losses, the higher the K, the stronger risk aversion to large losses

>When k=1 and T is the 99th percentile of loss, LPM is equal to 0.01*VaR

>When K=2 and T is the mean, LPM is semi-variance

>When K=2 and T is the target, LPM is downside variance

EPD expected policyholder deficit
EPD-probability of default * average los

EPD=probability of default * average loss from default

Cost of default option

>An insurer will not pay claims once the capital is exhausted

> A put option that transfers default risk to policyholders

PML (probable maximum loss per event) and AAL (average annual Loss)

Marginal Risk Reduction (MRR)

dPML	dPML	dPML	
dprem ⁱ	$d \exp_i$	dTIVi	

If premium in a region is reduced by 10K, how much will PML decrease?

Direct measure of risk reduction by deconcentration

Deconcetration strategy: reduce exposure with highest MRR

>PML can be replaced by any other risk measures

 $\frac{dLPM}{dprem_i}, \frac{dVariance}{dprem_i}$

Risk Reduction Elasticity (RRE)

 $\frac{dPML/PML}{d\operatorname{Pr}em_i/\operatorname{Pr}em_i}, \quad \frac{dPML/PML}{d\exp_i/\exp_i}, \quad \frac{dPML/PML}{dTIV_i/TIV_i}$

If premium in a region is reduced by 1%, by what percentage will PML decrease?

Direct measure of percentage risk reduction by deconcentration

Deconcetration strategy: reduce exposure with highest RRE

Balanced Marginal Risk Reduction (BMRR)

d'PML	d'PML	d'PML	
dprem ⁱ	$d \exp_i$,	$dTIV_i$	

If premium in a region is reduced by 10K, and other regions increase 10K proportionally, how much will PML decrease?

Direct measure of risk reduction by deconcentration if the overall premium remains the same.

Deconcetration strategy: reduce exposure with largest positive BMRR; increase exposure with largest negative BMRR.

Balanced Risk Reduction Elasticity (BRRE)

 $\frac{d'PML/PML}{d\operatorname{Pr}em_i/\operatorname{Pr}em_i}, \ \frac{d'PML/PML}{d\operatorname{exp}_i/\operatorname{exp}_i}, \ \frac{d'PML/PML}{dTIV_i/TIV_i}$

If premium in a region is reduced by 1% and other regions increases the premium proportionally, by what percentage will PML decrease?

Direct measure of percentage risk reduction by deconcentration if premium remains the same

Deconcetration strategy: reduce exposure with largest positive BRRE, increase exposure with largest negative BRRE

Co-Measure

Kreps R., 2005, "Riskness Leverage Models", CAS Proceedings, Vol XCII, 31-60.

> If risk is defined as R(x), then Co-measure is

R(x) = E(f(x) | condition)

 $CoR(x_i) = E(f(x_i) | condition)$

>For example, the co-measure for XTVaR is

 $XTVaR(x_q) = E(x - m \mid x > x_q)$ $CoXTaR(x_{q,i}) = E(x_i - m_i) \mid x > x_q)$

A hypothetical case

Region	Premium -	Cat Loss Distribution			
		1%	1%	1%	97%
1	100	50	100	0	0
2	100	70	0	80	0
Total	200	120	100	80	0

Decion	Dromium	Cat Loss Distribution			
Region	Flemium -	1%	1%	1%	97%
1	100	50	100	0	0
2	100	70	0	80	0
Total	200	120	100	80	0

Marginal Risk Reduction: If region1 premium reduces by 1 dollar, 99% VaR is 119.5 (49.5+70). PML reduces 0.5 dollar. MRR1=0.5.

 $\frac{dPML}{dprem1} = 0.5 \qquad \frac{dPML}{dprem2} = 0.7$

Risk Reduction Elasticity: If region1 premium reduces by 1%, 99% VaR is 119.5. RRE1=(0.5/120)/1%=0.417.

 $\frac{dPML/PML}{d \operatorname{Pr} em1/\operatorname{Pr} em1} = 0.417 \qquad \frac{dPML/PML}{d \operatorname{Pr} em2/\operatorname{Pr} em2} = 0.583$

Region	Dromium	Cat Loss Distribution			
	Fremium -	1%	1%	1%	97%
1	100	50	100	0	0
2	100	70	0	80	0
Total	200	120	100	80	0

Balanced Marginal Risk Reduction: If region1 premium reduces 1 dollar, and region2 premium increases 1 dollar, 99% VaR is 122.2 (49.5+70.7), BMRR1=-0.2

 $\frac{d'PML}{dprem1} = -0.2 \qquad \frac{d'PML}{dprem2} = 0.2$

Balanced Risk Reduction Elasticity

 $\frac{d'PML/PML}{d \operatorname{Pr} em1/\operatorname{Pr} em1} = -0.167 \qquad \frac{d'PML/PML}{d \operatorname{Pr} em2/\operatorname{Pr} em2} = 0.167$

Co-Measure: Co - PML1 = 50 Co - PML2 = 70

- De-concentration Optimization using MRR and RRE, assuming premium reduction
 - 1. Reduce one unit premium in the region with highest MRR/RRE, that is, Region 2
 - 2. Repeat 1 till achieving target premium reduction in certain regions.

De-concentration Optimization using BMRR and BRRE. Premium decreased in one region balanced by proportional increases from other regions

- 1. Reduce one unit premium in the region with highest BMRR/BRRE
- 2. Proportionally distribute the premium to rest of regions
- 3. Repeat 1-2 till optimal equilibrium (or target premium reduction in certain regions). The region with highest concentration risk may change in each iteration
- 4. In this example, the equilibrium is region 1 premium 116.7, and region 2 premium 83.3

De-concentration Optimization using BMRR and BRRE. Premium decreased in one region balanced by selective growth of other regions (or new regions)

- 1. Reduce one unit premium in the region with highest BMRR/BRRE
- 2. Increase one unit premium in the region with largest negative BMRR/BRRE
- 3. Repeat 1-2 till optimal equilibrium (or target premium reduction in certain regions).

The concentration risk measures can be extended to asset management and noninsurance industries

- How much is PML (the worst loss 1 in 100 years) of equities or a specific stock?
- How much does a specific line of business contribute to a company's PML?

If we switch 10 Million investment from stocks to municipal bonds, how much will it reduce PML of overall investment?

Capital Allocation

Insurers need to hold sufficient capital to pay for worst catastrophe losses, say 1:100 year PML

Management need to know the capital constraints on geographic expansion.

>Actuaries need to know the underwriting margins in cat-prone areas in order to achieve a target return on capital.

Capital Allocation

- Capital supports "even for a 99th percentile loss", but not "only for a 99th percentile loss"
- People are not just afraid of extreme large losses. They also dislike small losses.
- Capital allocation should consider the whole loss distribution, not just extreme right tail events. It should allocate disproportionate capital to severe losses.

Capital Allocation Principles

>Add-up to company capital

The larger the correlation, the higher the capital allocated to a region

The larger the regional volatility, the higher capital allocated to a region

Capital Allocation: Bodoff Method

- Allocate capital to all losses
- Allocate capital separately on each layer and perform the allocation across all layers
- Allocate disproportionate capital to extreme losses
- De-concentration strategy: reduce exposures from the region that consumes the highest capital
- Bodoff N. M. 2009, "Capital Allocation by Percentile Layers," Variance, Vol.3:1, 13-30

Capital Allocation: Bodoff Method

$$\int_{y=0}^{y=PML} \int_{x=y}^{x=\infty} \frac{f(x)}{1 - F(y)} dx dy$$

- x: loss amount
- y: capital
- F() and f(): the cumulative and density distribution functions of loss

Capital Allocation: Bodoff Method

Previous Example					
Layer Capital	Canital	Expected Loss		Capital Allocation	
	Capital	Region1	Region2	Region1	Region2
0-50	50	0.71	0.79	23.6	26.4
50-70	20	0.28	0.32	9.4	10.6
70-80	10	0.14	0.16	4.7	5.3
80-100	20	0.28	0.12	14.2	5.8
100-120	20	0.08	0.12	8.3	11.7
Total	120	1.50	1.50	60.3	59.7

Capital Allocation: Myers-Read Method

Allocation depends on the marginal contribution to default value (put option)

Marginal Default values add up to the total default value of the company

Can be simplified assuming zero correlation between investment and loss

➢ Myers, Stewart C., and Read Jr., James A., "Capital Allocation for Insurance Companies," *Journal of Risk* and Insurance, vol. 68, No. 4 (2001), pp. 545-580.

Capital Allocation: Myers-Read Method > Original version

$$c_{i} = c + \frac{(1+c)n(y)}{N(y)v} \left[(v_{i,L} - v_{L}^{2}) - (v_{i,A} - v_{A,L}) \right]$$

$$y = \log(1+c)/v - v/2$$

c is capital per unit of loss

v is the standard deviation of log(loss) N(y) is the cumulative standard normal probability.

Capital Allocation: Myers-Read Method

>Butsic's simplified version

Butsic, Robert J. (1999) "Capital Allocation for Property-Liability Insurers: A Catastrophe Reinsurance Application," CAS Forum, Spring

> $c_{i} = c + (\beta_{i} - 1)Z$ $\beta_{i} = \rho_{i,L}\sigma_{i} / \sigma_{L}$ $Z \approx (1 + c) \frac{n(y)}{N(y)} \frac{\sigma_{L}^{2}}{\sigma}$ $\sigma_{L}^{2} = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} w_{i}w_{j}\sigma_{i}\sigma_{j}\rho_{i,j} = \exp(v_{L}^{2}) - 1$ $\sigma_{L} \text{ is the CV of total losses}$

PML Allocation

To maintain certain A. M Best Rating, 1 from 100 years PML should not deploy x% of surplus.

If a company targets 1 billion PML, how much PML each region/state/county/zip should target?

Maximize profit/exposure/TIV by selecting optimal regional exposures subject to a companywide PML constraint

Sum of allocated PML > company PML

The larger the correlation, the lower the PML allocated to a region



The case study will be shown in the RPM seminar presentation.



