The Actuary as an Expert Witness

CAS/AAA Casualty Loss Reserve Seminar

September 20, 2022

Robert J. Walling III, FCAS, MAAA, CERA Pinnacle Actuarial Resources Robert S. Miccolis, FCAS, MAAA, FCA Miccolis Consulting LLC

Agenda

- Types of Expert Testimony by Actuaries
- Definitions
- Process
- Professional Guidance
- Pointers

Types of Expert Testimony by Actuaries

- Rate hearings
- Legislative reports and hearings
- Regulatory reports and hearings
- Insurance disputes
 - Damages estimates claims, reinsurance recoveries
 - Premium rates
 - Insurance or reinsurance claims

Types of Expert Testimony by Actuaries (cont.)

- Actuarial malpractice litigation
- Tax court
- Bankruptcy court
- Arbitration/mediation
 - American arbitration association (AAA)
 - AIDA reinsurance and insurance arbitration society (ARIAS)
 - Bermuda arbitration rules
- Fact witness (w/ expert quals.) vs. expert witness

Definitions

- Expert
 - Qualified under "evidentiary rules" of the "forum" where actuary testifies
 - Applicable in the "forum" (court, regulatory hearing, legislative hearing, etc.)
 - Presumes actuary will accepted be as "qualified" to testify and will actually testify
 - Understands the applicable "rules of evidence and procedure"
 - Federal Rule 26 for expert reports http://www.law.cornell.edu/rules/frcp/
- Testimony
 - Communication of "opinions or findings"
 - "Oral or written"

Definitions (cont.)

- Forum
 - Trial
 - Hearing or dispute resolution
 - Deposition
 - Declaration or affidavit
 - Other

Process – Consultation and Written Testimony

- Agreement/rules of engagement as an expert
- Document review/consultation
 - Engaged as a <u>potential</u> expert (or engaged as a litigation support advisor initially)
 - By a principal directly or though lawyers representing the principal
- Advocating for your principal as an expert
- Expert report (written)

- Deposition
 - Oral in front of lawyers from both sides in person or on Zoom
 - Court reporter types up everything said
 "on the record"
 - May also be video-recorded and/or audiorecorded
 - Not in a court with judge nor with hearing officer
- Review of opposing expert report
- Rebuttal report (written)

Process – Oral Testimony

- Direct testimony (in court or on Zoom)
- Cross examination (in court or on Zoom)
- Redirect (in court or on Zoom)
- Rebuttal (in court or on Zoom)

Professional Guidance

- Code of Conduct
- ASOP No. 17 Expert Testimony by Actuaries
- ASOP No. 41 Actuarial Communications
- ASOP No. 21 Responding to or Assisting Auditors or Examiners
- ASOPs No. 20, 36, 43 Reserve Estimates and Opinions
- ASOPs No. 12, 13, 25, 29, 30, 38, 39, 53 Rates, Risk Classification & Related Topics
- ASOP No. 56 *Modeling*
- ASOP No. 1, 23 Introductory ASOP (Actuarial Soundness), Data Quality

Professional Guidance – Other Guidance

- Applicable laws and regulations
- Judicial procedural requirements
- American Academy of Actuaries practice notes
- Accounting guidance (financial reporting)
- NAIC guidance and sample guidance from leading states
- "Peer Review Concepts of Professionalism" discussion paper 2005 American Academy of Actuaries
- Other guidance or precedents authoritative or common practices

Pointers – Written Testimony

- The best testimony is very clear, understandable and brief
 - Long, wordy explanations are double-edged swords
- Arrange for peer review and tech review, when possible
 - Engage with your peer reviewer; use a peer reviewer who has limited or no role in deciding your findings or opinions
- Know that whatever you put in writing (even electronic notes) may be discoverable
 - No single standard for legally "discoverable"
- Make sure you understand what should NOT be put into any type of electronic record or communication – even deleted items

Pointers – Written Testimony (cont.)

- When in doubt, only discuss live (unrecorded) with your principal's legal counsel
 - Avoid texts, emails or any electronic notes (throw away handwritten notes and marked-up drafts once report is issued)
- Reader is not an actuary
 - Write to a non-actuarial, non-technical, non-insurance audience
 - Avoid being long-winded nuances of actuarial practice are difficult for lawyers/judges to grasp clearly
- Actuarial jargon easily misunderstood or ignored
 - Words like "credibility" and "expected value" can have a very different meaning to a judge, hearing officer or jury
 - Define (or avoid) acronyms and short-hand expressions (e.g., "IBNR," "tail claims," "pure premium," "risk transfer")
 - Take care to avoid or explain similar words with more than one meaning (e.g., "reasonably possible/probable")

Pointers – Written Testimony (cont.)

- Documents reviewed or relied upon
 - Sometimes the list can be very long and include references the testimony of others
 - Be exhaustive don't leave something out; you may need it later (cross examination, rebuttal report/testimony)
 - Note: you usually do not rely on everything you review (in forming your opinions)
- Look for references to prior testimony of opposing experts (when they are public or approved documents)
- What you discuss with your attorney <u>is not protected</u> you can be asked what discussions you had

Pointers – Oral Testimony

- Make sure you know what key points you (and your counsel) want to get on the record
- Rehearse or at least talk through your main points how you will respond to questions
- Get your key points drilled into your short-term memory
- Listen carefully
 - Ask for the question to be repeated
 - Think before you speak (pause)
- Breathe don't rush an answer
- Hydrate (usually allowed to have a bottle or glass of water on the stand)
- You can (and should) ask for a break when challenged or a bit confused

Pointers – Oral Testimony (cont.)

- You are not typically speaking to an audience with actuarial or insurance knowledge (except maybe in an arbitration)
 - You may well be the only actuary in the room
 - Speak plainly
 - Avoid jargon and acronyms
- Respond to help the judge understand
 - The judge is your audience, not the opposing attorney who is questioning you
- Note: An opposing attorney's nod may indicate your answer helps them rather than what you were trying to get across to the judge
 - Make sure your attorney knows to follow up in redirect questions for you

Pointers – Cross Examination

- Listen extremely carefully
- Opposing attorneys often make slight wording adjustments that make statements no longer correct
- Yes/No questions this is a tactic to close off your ability to explain
 - Your attorney can (should) then ask you to explain later
 - Have some signal so your attorney knows you want a chance to explain your answer at the appropriate time
- Okay to say you don't know, you can't answer the question or you have not considered that question
 - DO NOT GUESS OR ASSUME
- You could be questioned about anything you have ever said in a public forum or wrote in a publication
- Don't engage in a debate on the stand
 - An attorney may (will) try to antagonize you with pointed questions
 - If you engage, or debate with them, you might be disqualified as a witness

Pointers – Rebuttal

- Written rebuttal reports
 - Allows you to read the opposing reports and rebut their statements or analysis with the reasons or explanations
 - You will likely be cross-examined about your rebuttal report
- Other expert reports from all sides
 - Read the other expert reports, if you are allowed access to them
 - Listen intently to all experts who testify
 - Even those you are not expecting to rebut
- Help your attorney(s) focus on main rebuttal points
 - What will materially help the person(s) judging or hearing testimony to decide
 - Trying too hard to be persuasive on small issues could diminish how convincing you are on more critical points for their decision

Questions?



Thank You!

Robert J. Walling III, FCAS, MAAA, CERA

Pinnacle Actuarial Resources

Robert S. Miccolis, FCAS, MAAA, FCA

Miccolis Consulting LLC