Flood for Thought

2020 RPM Virtual Seminar July 27, 2020

Speakers:

Brandon Katz, Katrisk David D. Evans, Milliman David Atkinson, FEMA Howard Kunst, CoreLogic



Brandon Katz, M.Sc. Katrisk



Questions to Answer

- 1. How are flood catastrophe models composed and what is different about flood vs other hazard models?
- 2. How will climate change influence Flood Catastrophe Models















Hazard

Event 1

Event 2

Event N

Generate Events from Simulations

















Loss Model (Defense, Vulnerability, Uncertainty)

- 1. Determine Defense
- 2. Map Vulnerability Curves
- 3. Sample Vulnerability Loss Curve

KatRisk Vulnerability Modifiers

First Flood Elevation		Unit Start/End Floor		Basement Only	
Occupancy	Construction	Number of Stories	Basement	Mobile Home Tie Down	Finished Basement
Residential	Wood	1	Yes	Yes	Yes
Commercial	Masonry	2	No	No	No
Industrial	Concrete	3	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
Auto	Steel	>3			
Unknown	Light Metal	Unknown			
	Mobile Home				
	Unknown				



⁴⁻Parameter Beta Distribution













Loss Statistics - OEP vs AEP





Flood vs. Other Hazards?

Resolution

- Compute Time
- Run Time
- Geospatial Accuracy **Data Availability**
- High Resolution
 Input Data
- Historic Loss Data **Demand**
- NFIP











Climate Uncertainty (Storm Surge)









+ X cm Current Day Mean Sea Level





Confidential - 14

Society of Actuaries Study Milliman KatRisk

Figure 6: Storm Surge Losses by MSA and Sea Level Rise Scenario

https://www.soa.org/resources/research-reports/2020/soa-flood-report/

Figure 8: Change in 500-year Return Period Flood Losses for Sea Level Rise Scenarios Compared to Current Sea Levels Percent Change in 500 Year Return Period Flood Losses Highest 20 MSAs under High Sea Level Rise Scenario New York-Newark-Jersey City, NY-NJ-PA Atlantic City-Hammonton, NJ Salisbury, MD-DE Miami-Fort Lauderdale-Pompano Beach, FL Ocean City, NJ New Orleans-Metairie, LA Savannah, GA Cape Coral-Fort Myers, FL Brunswick, GA Hilton Head Island-Bluffton, SC Virginia Beach-Norfolk-Newport News, VA-NC Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater, FL New Bern, NC Charleston-North Charleston, SC Naples-Marco Island, FL Barnstable Town, MA Houston-The Woodlands-Sugar Land, TX Norwich-New London, CT Charleston-North Charleston, SC Hinesville, GA Ocean City, NJ Myrtle Beach-Conway-North Myrtle Beach, SC-NC Jacksonville, FL Lake Charles, LA Corpus Christi, TX Virginia Beach-Norfolk-Newport News, VA-NC Jacksonville, FL Punta Gorda, FL Lafayette, LA Houma-Thibodaux, LA Naples-Marco Island, FL North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton, FL Miami-Fort Lauderdale-Pompano Beach, FL Boston-Cambridge-Newton, MA-NH Houma-Thibodaux, LA Gulfport-Biloxi, MS Beaumont-Port Arthur, TX Myrtle Beach-Conway-North Myrtle Beach, SC-NC 0% 20% 40% 60% 80% 100% 120% 140% 160% 180% 200% Sebastian-Vero Beach, FL ■ Medium ■ High Lafayette, LA 100,000,000 1. 5150,000,000 1,000,000,000,000 \$200,000,000 1,000,000,000,000 4100,000,000,000 \$300,000,000 5350,000,000 1000,000,000,000,000 550,000,000 \$450,000,000

Total Average Annual Storm Surge Losses Highest 20 MSAs under High Sea Level Rise Scenario

> Total Storm Surge Average Annual Loss by Sea Level Rise Scenario Current Medium High





Map 2: Expected Flood Losses; Sea Level Rise Scenarios to Current Sea Level - Florida to Georgia

https://www.soa.org/resources/research-reports/2020/soa-flood-report/

Map 4: Expected Flood Losses; Sea Level Rise Scenarios to Current Sea Level - Mid-Atlantic

High Sea Level Rise vs. Current Sea Levels Medium Sea Level Rise vs. Current Sea Levels 1.000% 501% to 1,0009 301% to 500% 201% to 300% 151% to 200% 126% to 150% 111% to 125% 106% to 110% 01% to 105%

service Layer Credits: Esri, Garmin, GEBCO, NOAA NGDC, and other contributors



1. Service Layer Credits: Esti, Garmin, GEBCO, NOAA NGDC, and other contributors

Climate Uncertainty (Inland Flood)



RCP 2.6 Scenario - 2050

Annual Maximum Precipitation

RCP 8.5 Scenario - 2050



https://nca2014.globalchange.gov/report/our-changing-climate/precipitation-change Confidential - 17



RCP 4.5 Scenario - 2050



RCP 8.5 Scenario - 2050





Confidential - 18



RCP 4.5 Scenario - 2100



RCP 8.5 Scenario - 2100





Confidential - 19

Climate Uncertainty (Inland Flood)

Percent Change in Ground Up Average Annual Loss By State (Top 20 by % Change)





Confidential - 20





Current state and trends of the Private Flood Market

David D Evans, FCAS Milliman

David.d.evans@Milliman.com

C Milliman

Source: OpenFEMA data June 2019

Traditional obstacles for private flood insurers

- Flood risk varies significantly over a short distance
- Lack of data and experience
- Catastrophic potential and interaction with wind
- High potential for a small number of risks to drive losses
- Fragmented state regulatory market





Image Source: Hurricane Harvey Water Extent (Estimated) UC Davis Center for Watershed Sciences

Historical data is too volatile for flood ratemaking



Percentage by state of cumulative NFIP paid loss since 1980

C Milliman

Source: OpenFEMA data June 2019

Why are insurance companies starting to insure flood?





Source: Carrier Management

Flood insurance by the numbers

Statistics on the U.S. residential flood market

- 2019 Homeowners Direct Written Premium (DWP) was \$103B
- Milliman estimate: the potential U.S. residential flood insurance market is ______ of DWP
 - A) \$37M to \$47M
 - B) \$370M to \$470M
 - C) \$3.7B to \$4.7B
 - D) \$37B to \$47B

Potential market is magnitudes larger than the current

- Milliman estimate: the potential U.S. residential flood insurance market is D) \$37B to \$47B of DWP.
- Estimate includes insured losses, expenses and profit. Expenses includes costs of reinsurance.
- Estimate does not include minimum premium
- Policy terms assumed similar to homeowners
- Estimate assumes flood insurance purchased at a rate similar to residential property insurance today



Flood insurance by the numbers

Statistics on the U.S. residential flood market

- 2018 NFIP and Private Flood reported Earned Premium for personal and commercial lines combined was:
 - A) \$4B
 - B) \$14B
 - C) \$24B
 - D) \$40B

Flood insurance by the numbers

Statistics on the U.S. residential flood market

- 2018 NFIP and Private Flood reported Earned Premium for personal and commercial lines combined was A) \$4B
- 2018 NFIP Earned Premium was \$3.3B, with about \$700M reported for Private Flood line
- Additional flood market estimates:
 - Only 5% of U.S. single-family homes had a flood insurance policy in 2018
 - From SOA study: 87% of single-family flood losses are uninsured



Take-up rate challenges

Protection gap between current and potential market driven by low take-up rates

National take-up rates (those with flood coverage)	Possible explanations			
 Approximately one-third of single-family homes in Special Flood Hazard Area have an NFIP policy. This is only about 2% outside the SFHA 	 Flood coverage is perceived to be too expensive 	 Many people believe that if flood insurance is not required as a condition of a mortgage, they are not at risk of a flood 	 Some believe flood coverage is only available for properties in flood zones 	 Others believe flood coverage is a standard inclusion in their homeowners policy This is supported by a disconnect in consumer surveys vs. take- up data

Sources: Milliman estimates, NAIC CIPR Study on Flood Risk and Insurance, April 2017

States taking action to encourage private flood

States are encouraging companies to close the protection gap



New Jersey	Recognized need for robust private flood market post-Sandy	<i>Action:</i> Deregulated rates, rules, and forms by putting flood on export list
/irginia	Regulations required all models or final rates to be filed	<i>Action:</i> Issued administrative order in 2019 suspending rate and support filing requirements through 2025
North Carolina	Rates and forms must be promulgated by rating bureau	<i>Action:</i> NCRB developed state of the art flood program; approved by DOI in February 2020
lorida	Property rate regulations were too burdensome for flood, and flood model standards were not yet established	<i>Action:</i> Passed SB 542 allowing freedom in flood rating and use of cat models subject to informational filings, future model review
Alabama	State is exposed to significant flood risk, but consumers have limited choices to purchase flood insurance outside NFIP	Action: Commissioned survey of insurers, reinsurers, MGAs, other stakeholders to identify actions the state could take to encourage private flood market

Thank you!



Source: OpenFEMA data June 2019



National Flood Insurance Program

Casualty Actuarial Society RPM Conference July 27, 2020

NFIP History



National Flood Insurance Act of 1968

- Unavailability of private flood insurance. Viewed as an uninsurable risk.
- Provide more complete and dependable coverage than relying solely on federal disaster assistance.

Property owner must be in a participating community that has adopted floodplain management regulations and a Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) that indicates relative riskiness of different areas by zone: AE, V, X, etc.

Main NFIP Products



Flood Insurance Policies, including Standard Risk and Preferred Risk Policies (PRP).

https://www.fema.gov/national-flood-insurance-program

Flood Plain Management, Minimum Building Standards.

https://www.fema.gov/floodplain-management

Flood Risk Identification

Flood Map Service Center https://msc.fema.gov/

NFIP Relationship with Industry



Write Your Own (WYO) Program

• Great majority of NFIP policies are sold and handled (including claims) by industry partners. NFIP ultimate risk bearer.

Private Flood Insurers

- Private insurers gradually gaining an appetite for flood insurance.
- Driven by catastrophe modeling and sophisticated analytical methods.

NFIP buys private reinsurance and places Insurance Linked Securities (ILS) in the capital markets. (Fairly recent development)

NFIP Relationship with Industry (cont'd)



FEMA encourages greater private market participation. Our hope is to close the flood insurance "gap"---too few Americans are protected by flood insurance. Only about 20% of homes flooded in Hurricane Harvey had flood insurance.

OpenFEMA: FEMA publishes policy and claims data for anyone to use. See <u>https://www.fema.gov/openfema</u>. Data is not available at precise location level in order to protect privacy, but is available at census tract, county, zip code, and latitude/longitude to 1 decimal place levels.

NFIP Transformation



Risk Rating 2.0 aims to deliver several key benefits:



Provide rates that are easier to understand for agents and policyholders.



Simplify and standardize the quoting process across the country.



Create a more individualized picture of a property's risk.

Communicating Local Flood Risk Mexico Beach Flood Maps and Hurricane Michael Damage





NFIP Transformation (cont'd)



Risk Rating 2.0 premiums will more accurately reflect flood risk by considering a broader range of variables.



Recommended Take-Aways for Actuaries



- Try to Retain Skills from your Advanced Ratemaking Exam.
- Learn about GLM's, from exams, self-teaching, or other sources.
- Get yourself up-to-date in statistical learning methods, through the CAS or through outside learning.
- Familiarize yourself with the assumptions and the outputs of modern catastrophe models.
- If you work in Property Insurance (esp. Property CAT), learn about GIS mapping.



Building a Complete Flood Insurance Program

Underwriting and Risk Management

March 24, 2020 | Howard A. Kunst, FCAS MAAA CCRMP



Agenda

- Different types of natural catastrophe models
- How models may be used to assist with underwriting and risk selection
- Using the models to understand portfolio risk management



Types of Natural Catastrophe Models





PROBABILISTIC What if it happened?



FORENSIC What did happen?



Underwriting Considerations

Using Deterministic Models in Underwriting

- Risk selection is important to consider along with proper rates (based on exposure to risk)
 - Hazard risk scores
 provide a good
 representation of
 relative risk





Underwriting Considerations

Using Deterministic Models in Underwriting

- Use to set thresholds/underwriting rules
- Scores can be easily implemented/imported into U/W work stream, particularly for homogenous lines of business
- Understand nuances in the rating structure
- Inability to charge actuarially sound rates due to regulation



Underwriting Considerations

Using Probabilistic Models in Underwriting

- More complicated risks (e.g. larger, commercial structures) may require more information
- EP curve considerations (impacts of tail events)
- Aggregation of risk
- Impact on reinsurance / capital management
 - Correlations to current book



Risk Management Considerations

Using Natural Catastrophe Models

- Deterministic Risk Scores provide a method to look at the distribution of risk across various geographies
 - Compare risk exposure distribution for a company vs industry total exposures
- Probabilistic model results have many uses in managing exposures to catastrophe losses
 - AAL's and PML's provide necessary information for senior management to make a number of financial decisions
 - Aggregations / Reinsurance
 - Scenario testing
 - Capital allocation (AAL's, PML's, Tvar)



Thank you

