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1.

In the supplemental material, you have been given a case study, “Systolic Blood Pressure Case Study”, showing the results of different treatment options and the description of how that study was set up. There are different ways of setting up models to examine the benefits of the different treatment options. You have been asked which of two model structures will give a better fit to the experience.

Model Structure XYZ has:

- All eight treatment options in the fixed effects section of the model
- A random effect of doctors nested within hospitals
- An assumption of constant variance across treatment effects

Model Structure STW has:

- All eight treatment options in the fixed effects section of the model
- A random effect of doctors nested within hospitals
- An assumption that the variance by treatment can be grouped under Variance Group #1

The null hypothesis is that variance is constant across all treatment effects.

Determine the level of significance at which one would reject the null hypothesis using a likelihood ratio test.

A. Less than .005
B. At least .005, but less than .01
C. At least .01, but less than .025
D. At least .025, but less than .05
E. Greater than .05
2,

In the supplemental material, you have been given a case study, “Systolic Blood Pressure Case Study”, showing the results of different treatment options and the description of how that study was set up. There are different ways of setting up models to examine the benefits of the different treatment options. You have been asked which of two models structures will give a better fit to the experience.

Model Structure XYZ has:

- Treatment options should be grouped using Mean Group #1 in the fixed effects section of the model
- A random effect of doctors nested within hospitals
- An assumption that the variance by treatment can be grouped under Variance Group #1

Model Structure STW has:

- All eight treatment options in the fixed effects section of the model
- A random effect of doctors nested within hospitals
- An assumption that the variance by treatment can be grouped under Variance Group #1

The null hypothesis is that the Mean Group #1 should be retained to evaluate the effectiveness of treatment options.

Determine the level of significance at which one would reject the null hypothesis using a likelihood ratio test.

A. Less than .005
B. At least .005, but less than .01
C. At least .01, but less than .025
D. At least .025, but less than .05
E. Greater than .05
An insurance company sells homeowners' policies, each of which belongs to one of two possible risk groups, S and T. You are given the following information:

- Risk group S occurs 20% of the time.
- Risk group S has claim frequencies that are Poisson distributed with parameter $\lambda = 2$.
- Risk group S has claim severity that is uniformly distributed between 100 and 1000.

- Risk group T occurs 80% of the time.
- Risk group T has claim frequencies that are Poisson distributed with parameter $\lambda = 1$.
- Risk group T has claim severity that is uniformly distributed between 2000 and 8000.

- Claim frequency and claim severity are independently distributed given a risk group.

The Bühlmann credibility method is used to calculate the next year's predicted aggregate loss given three prior years of loss experience for a given risk.

Calculate the Bühlmann credibility factor for this risk.

A. Less than 0.17
B. At least 0.17, but less than 0.20
C. At least 0.20, but less than 0.23
D. At least 0.23, but less than 0.26
E. At least 0.26
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4.

An insurance company writes automobile policies in various regions across the country. You are given the following information:

- The company wrote 2,500,000 policies countrywide with a pure premium of 960.
- In Region X, this company wrote 72,000 policies with a pure premium of 530.
- The expected variance of the pure premium within each region is 1,800,000,000.
- The variance of the region pure premium means is 45,000.
- Within each region, the losses for each automobile policy are identically distributed.

Calculate the credibility-weighted pure premium for Region X using Bühlmann credibility.

A. Less than 610
B. At least 610, but less than 640
C. At least 640, but less than 670
D. At least 670, but less than 700
E. At least 700
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5.

You are given:

- The number of claims incurred per year for each insured has mean $\theta$ and variance $2\theta$.
- $\theta$ is uniformly distributed from 0.05 to 0.25.
- The following table of claim experience for a company:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Number of Insureds</th>
<th>Number of Claims</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calculate the estimated claim count for this company in Year 4 using the Bühlmann-Straub credibility approach.

A. Less than 21
B. At least 21, but less than 23
C. At least 23, but less than 25
D. At least 25, but less than 27
E. At least 27

CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE
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You are given the following information:

- A block of insurance policies had 1,384 claims this period.
- The claims had a mean loss of 55 and variance of loss of 6,010.
- The mean frequency of these claims is 0.085 per policy.
- The block has 21,000 policies.
- Full credibility is based on a coverage probability of 98% for a range of within 5% deviation from the true mean.


Calculate the absolute difference between $Z_X$ and $Z_P$.

A. Less than 0.05  
B. At least 0.05, but less than 0.15  
C. At least 0.15, but less than 0.25  
D. At least 0.25, but less than 0.35  
E. At least 0.35
You are building a Linear Mixed Model with longitudinal observations. The observations within a subject exhibit positive auto correlation. The variance of the residuals is 0.647.

Determine which of the following covariance matrices exhibits the first-order autoregressive structure.

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0.647 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 \\
0.000 & 0.647 & 0.000 & 0.000 \\
0.000 & 0.000 & 0.647 & 0.000 \\
0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.647 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

A.

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0.647 & 0.541 & 0.000 & 0.000 \\
0.541 & 0.647 & 0.541 & 0.000 \\
0.000 & 0.541 & 0.647 & 0.541 \\
0.000 & 0.000 & 0.541 & 0.647 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

B.

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0.793 & 0.146 & 0.146 & 0.146 \\
0.146 & 0.793 & 0.146 & 0.146 \\
0.146 & 0.146 & 0.793 & 0.146 \\
0.146 & 0.146 & 0.146 & 0.793 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

C.

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0.647 & 0.391 & 0.102 & 0.037 \\
0.391 & 0.647 & 0.391 & 0.102 \\
0.102 & 0.391 & 0.647 & 0.391 \\
0.037 & 0.102 & 0.391 & 0.647 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

D.

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0.647 & 0.518 & 0.415 & 0.332 \\
0.518 & 0.647 & 0.518 & 0.415 \\
0.415 & 0.518 & 0.647 & 0.518 \\
0.332 & 0.415 & 0.518 & 0.647 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

E.
You are fitting a Linear Mixed Model to a set of 50 observations. Your model is of the form
\[ Y_i = X_i \beta + Z_i u_i + \varepsilon_i. \]

Your model includes 3 fixed covariates and 2 random covariates. The variance-covariance matrix of \( u_i \) is \( D \).

Determine the dimensions of the matrix \( D \).

A. 2 rows and 2 columns
B. 3 rows and 3 columns
C. 5 rows and 5 columns
D. 50 rows and 50 columns
E. The answer is not given by (A), (B), (C), or (D)
While fitting a Linear Mixed Model, problems arose with the estimation of the covariance parameters. The following alternative approaches for the estimation of the covariance parameters were analyzed:

I. Fit the implied marginal model.
II. Fit the marginal model with an unstructured covariance matrix.
III. Choose alternative starting values for covariance parameter estimates.

Determine which of the alternative approaches above are appropriate for the estimation of the covariance parameters.

A. None are appropriate
B. I and II only
C. I and III only
D. II and III only
E. The answer is not given by (A), (B), (C), or (D)
10.

Determine which of the following tests is not an appropriate alternative to the likelihood ratio tests of hypothesis for the parameters in a Linear Mixed Model.

A. A $t$-test to test hypotheses about a single fixed-effect parameter.
B. A Type I $F$-test to test for linear hypotheses about multiple fixed effects.
C. A Type III $F$-test to test for linear hypotheses about multiple fixed effects.
D. An omnibus Wald test to test linear hypotheses of the form $H_0: L\beta = 0$ vs. $H_A: L\beta \neq 0$.
E. A Wald $z$-test to test for covariance parameters.
11.

You are given the following three statements regarding parameter estimates of Linear Mixed Models using maximum likelihood (ML) estimation and residual maximum likelihood (REML) estimation.

I. ML estimates of the covariance parameters are biased.
II. The variance of the ML estimates of the fixed effects are biased.
III. The variance of the REML estimates of the fixed effects are unbiased.

Determine which of the preceding statements are true.

A. None are true
B. I and II only
C. I and III only
D. II and III only
E. The answer is not given by (A), (B), (C), or (D)
12.

Height measurements were taken on the same 90 individuals for three consecutive years and a Linear Mixed Model is built using Sex and Age to model the Height of each individual.

The following summary statistics are provided from the data:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Number of Observations</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>156.89</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>158.96</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>160.84</td>
<td>5.19</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>152.58</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>159.29</td>
<td>7.64</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>166.20</td>
<td>8.55</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Determine which of the following equations specifies the Linear Mixed Model for a given subject $i$ measured at time $t$ with the greatest number of possible random effects.

A. $HEIGHT_{ti} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \times SEX_{ti} + \beta_2 \times AGE_{ti} + \beta_3 \times SEX_{ti} \times AGE_{ti}$
   $+u_{0i} + \varepsilon_{ti}$

B. $HEIGHT_{ti} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \times SEX_{ti} + \beta_2 \times AGE_{ti} + \beta_3 \times SEX_{ti} \times AGE_{ti}$
   $+u_{0i} + u_{1i} \times SEX_{ti} + \varepsilon_{ti}$

C. $HEIGHT_{ti} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \times SEX_{ti} + \beta_2 \times AGE_{ti} + \beta_3 \times SEX_{ti} \times AGE_{ti}$
   $+u_{0i} + u_{2i} \times AGE_{ti} + \varepsilon_{ti}$

D. $HEIGHT_{ti} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \times SEX_{ti} + \beta_2 \times AGE_{ti} + \beta_3 \times SEX_{ti} \times AGE_{ti}$
   $+u_{0i} + u_{2i} \times AGE_{ti} + u_{3i} \times SEX_{ti} \times AGE_{ti} + \varepsilon_{ti}$

E. $HEIGHT_{ti} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \times SEX_{ti} + \beta_2 \times AGE_{ti} + \beta_3 \times SEX_{ti} \times AGE_{ti}$
   $+u_{0i} + u_{1i} \times SEX_{ti} + u_{2i} \times AGE_{ti} + u_{3i} \times SEX_{ti} \times AGE_{ti} + \varepsilon_{ti}$
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An actuary creates a Hierarchical Linear Mixed Model of patient outcomes, with random effects for clinics (Level 3) and doctors (Level 2). Doctors are clustered in clinics. The first clinic has two doctors and six patients, with patients assigned to doctors as shown in the table below.

The table provides a complete list of data for Clinic 1, which is the first among eight clinics studied.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinic ID</th>
<th>Doctor ID</th>
<th>Patient ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1001</td>
<td>003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1001</td>
<td>004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1001</td>
<td>005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1001</td>
<td>006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The marginal variance-covariance matrix (rows and columns in order of patient ID) for Clinic 1 is as follows:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
132 & 27 & 11 & 11 & 11 & 11 \\
27 & 132 & 11 & 11 & 11 & 11 \\
11 & 11 & 132 & 27 & 27 & 27 \\
11 & 11 & 27 & 132 & 27 & 27 \\
11 & 11 & 27 & 27 & 132 & 27 \\
11 & 11 & 27 & 27 & 27 & 132 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Calculate the Intraclass Correlation Coefficient for patients with the same doctor.

A. Less than 0.1  
B. At least 0.1, but less than 0.2  
C. At least 0.2, but less than 0.3  
D. At least 0.3, but less than 0.4  
E. At least 0.4
You are given the following information:

Ten classrooms are randomly assigned to receive one of three new teaching methods, labeled R, S and T. There are 300 students in these 10 classrooms. Student test scores are measured before and after the new teaching methods are implemented.

The following variables are considered:

- **CLASS_ID**
  - Class ID number
- **METHOD_R**
  - Indicator Variable (teaching method R = 1)
- **METHOD_S**
  - Indicator Variable (teaching method S = 1)
- **STUDENT_ID**
  - Student ID number
- **SEX**
  - Indicator variable (female = 1)
- **SCORE_PRE**
  - Student's test score before the new teaching method is implemented
- **SCORE**
  - Student’s test score after the new teaching method is implemented

You are given Model 1 for the score of **STUDENT_ID i** in **CLASS_ID j**.

\[
SCORE_{ij} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \times SEX_{ij} + \beta_2 \times SCORE\_PRE_{ij} + \beta_3 \times METHOD\_R_j + \beta_4 \times METHOD\_S_j + u_j + \epsilon_{ij}
\]

- \(u_j \sim N(0, \sigma_{\text{class}}^2)\)
- \(\epsilon_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma^2)\)
- All \(u_j, \epsilon_{ij}\) are mutually independent

Determine which of the following statements about Model 1 is true.

A. There are seven parameters in the model.
B. The value of \(\beta_1\) varies across individual students.
C. \(\sigma_{\text{class}}^2\) represents variance within the classroom.
D. \(\sigma^2\) represents variance between the classrooms.
E. The intercept for each classroom is the same.
15.

You are given Model 1 for the SCORE of STUDENT_ID i in CLASS_ID j.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Model Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>( \text{SCORE}<em>{ij} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \times \text{SEX}</em>{ij} + \beta_2 \times \text{SCORE_PRE}<em>{ij} + \beta_3 \times \text{METHOD_R}</em>{ij} + \beta_4 \times \text{METHOD_S}<em>{ij} + u_j + \epsilon</em>{ij} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following assumptions apply to Model 1 and the models listed under A – E:

- \( u_j \sim N(0, \sigma_{\text{class}}^2) \)
- \( \epsilon_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma^2) \)
- All \( u_j, \epsilon_{ij} \) are mutually independent

For hierarchical models:

- Level 1 is Student
- Level 2 is Classroom

Determine which of the following four hierarchical models is equivalent to Model 1.

A. Level 1: \( \text{SCORE}_{ij} = b_{0j} + \beta_1 \times \text{SEX}_{ij} + \beta_2 \times \text{SCORE\_PRE}_{ij} + u_j + \epsilon_{ij} \)
   Level 2: \( b_{0j} = \beta_0 + \beta_3 \times \text{METHOD\_R}_{ij} + \beta_4 \times \text{METHOD\_S}_{ij} + u_j \)

B. Level 1: \( \text{SCORE}_{ij} = b_{0j} + \beta_1 \times \text{SEX}_{ij} + \beta_2 \times \text{SCORE\_PRE}_{ij} + \epsilon_{ij} \)
   Level 2: \( b_{0j} = \beta_0 + \beta_3 \times \text{METHOD\_R}_{ij} + \beta_4 \times \text{METHOD\_S}_{ij} + u_j \)

C. Level 1: \( \text{SCORE}_{ij} = b_{0j} + \beta_1 \times \text{SEX}_{ij} + \beta_2 \times \text{SCORE\_PRE}_{ij} + \beta_3 \times \text{METHOD\_R}_{ij} + \beta_4 \times \text{METHOD\_S}_{ij} + \epsilon_{ij} \)
   Level 2: \( b_{0j} = \beta_0 + u_j \)

D. Level 1: \( \text{SCORE}_{ij} = b_{0j} + \beta_1 \times \text{SEX}_{ij} + \beta_2 \times \text{SCORE\_PRE}_{ij} + \beta_3 \times \text{METHOD\_R}_{ij} + \beta_4 \times \text{METHOD\_S}_{ij} + u_j \)
   Level 2: \( b_{0j} = \beta_0 + u_j \)

E. None of (A), (B), (C), or (D) are equivalent to Model 1.
The Metropolis algorithm is chosen to sample the posterior distribution of a parameter $\theta$. Assume the following:

- An unnormalized posterior defined by the function $f(\theta) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } \theta < 0 \\ e^{-\theta^2}, & \text{for } \theta \geq 0 \end{cases}$
- A sampler initialization point of 3.0 before Iteration 1
- Each proposal in the Metropolis algorithm is the sum of the current position of the sampler and the step within a given iteration
- A proposal is accepted if the acceptance ratio, $\frac{f(\theta_{\text{prop}})}{f(\theta_{\text{curr}})}$, is greater than the random uniform number

The first four iterations for the sampler are provided in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Iteration</th>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Random Uniform Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>0.939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-3.7</td>
<td>0.468</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calculate the position of the sampler after iteration 4.

A. Less than 1.0
B. At least 1.0, but less than 2.0
C. At least 2.0, but less than 3.0
D. At least 3.0, but less than 4.0
E. At least 4.0
A chain of parameters is sampled using the Gibbs algorithm. The length of the chain is 2,500. 25% of the samples are regarded as warm-up. The autocorrelation, $\rho$, is computed at each lag, $k$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$k$</th>
<th>$\rho_k$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calculate the effective sample size of the chain.

A. Less than or equal to 200
B. Greater than 200 but less than or equal to 225
C. Greater than 225 but less than or equal to 250
D. Greater than 250 but less than or equal to 275
E. Greater than 275
You are given the following statements regarding Hamiltonian MCMC.

I. It is possible to use a relatively small number of effective posterior samples if you are only trying to measure the posterior mean.
II. It is always necessary to run multiple chains to make inferences from the posterior distribution.
III. In general, using weakly informative priors will improve the efficiency of the sampling compared to non-informative priors.

Determine which of the above statements are true.

A. None are true
B. I and II only
C. I and III only
D. II and III only
E. The answer is not given by (A), (B), (C), or (D)
The following statements about the Gelman-Rubin convergence diagnostic are provided.

I. It measures autocorrelation of samples within a chain.
II. It aids in determining whether there are a sufficient number of chains in the sampling process.
III. It is used to help assess convergence of multiple chains in the sampling process.

Determine which of the above statements are true.

A. I only  
B. II only  
C. III only  
D. I, II and III  
E. The answer is not given by (A), (B), (C), or (D)
The trace plot for a Bayesian MCMC chain is provided below.

Determine which of the following best describes any problem with the chain and the action that should be taken.

A. There is a low level of auto-correlation; the mean of the likelihood distribution should be changed.
B. There is a low level of auto-correlation; many more iterations are needed to make inferences from the samples of the posterior.
C. There is a high level of auto-correlation; the variance of the prior distribution should be reduced.
D. There is a high level of auto-correlation; many more iterations are needed to make inferences from the samples of the posterior.
E. There are no issues with this chain.
In the table below, $p_i$ represents the true distribution of events and $q_i$ represents a model's estimates of $p_i$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$i$</th>
<th>$p_i$</th>
<th>$q_i$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calculate the Kullback-Leibler divergence.

A. Less than or equal to 0.090  
B. Greater than 0.090 but less than or equal to 0.095  
C. Greater than 0.095 but less than or equal to 0.100  
D. Greater than 0.100 but less than or equal to 0.105  
E. Greater than 0.105
You are given the following information:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>WAIC</th>
<th>Effective Number of Parameters (p_{WAIC})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>681.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
<td>682.4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3</td>
<td>688.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calculate the Akaike weight for Model M2.

A. Less than 0.25  
B. Greater than 0.25 but less than or equal to 0.35  
C. Greater than 0.35 but less than or equal to 0.45  
D. Greater than 0.45 but less than or equal to 0.55  
E. Greater than 0.55
A linear regression is fit with the model below:

\[ y_i \sim \text{Normal}(\mu_i, \sigma) \]
\[ \mu_i = b_0 + b_1x_{1i} + b_2x_{2i} \]
\[ b_0 \sim \text{Normal}(10, 50) \]
\[ b_1 \sim \text{Normal}(2, 10) \]
\[ b_2 \sim \text{Normal}(2, 10) \]
\[ \sigma \sim \text{Uniform}(0, 10) \]

It is known that \(X_1\) and \(X_2\) are both highly correlated with \(Y\).

Three posterior plots of \(b_1\) and \(b_2\) are provided below.

Determine the best interpretation regarding multicollinearity from these plots.

A. It is present in the data because \(x_1\) and \(x_2\) are highly positively correlated
B. It is present in the data because \(x_1\) and \(x_2\) are highly negatively correlated
C. It is present in the data because \(b_1\) and \(b_2\) are highly positively correlated
D. It is present in the data because \(x_1\) and \(x_2\) have low correlation with each other
E. It is not present in the data
The random variable $Y$ follows a Poisson distribution with mean parameter $\lambda$. Six posterior samples for $\lambda$ are provided in the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$i$</th>
<th>$\lambda_i$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following additional information is provided:

- The first realized observation from $Y$, $y_1$, is 3
- The contribution from $y_1$ to the effective number of parameters ($p_{WAIC}$) is 0.2019

Calculate the contribution to WAIC from $y_1$.

A. Less than 1.05
B. Greater than or equal to 1.05 but less than 2.05
C. Greater than or equal to 2.05 but less than 3.05
D. Greater than or equal to 3.05 but less than 4.05
E. Greater than or equal to 4.05
25.

Consider the following statements about Deviance Information Criterion (DIC).

I. Higher values indicate better models.
II. DIC includes a penalty for the effective number of parameters.
III. DIC is similar to AIC except that it accounts for information in the prior distribution(s).

Determine which of the above statements are true.

A. None are true
B. I and II only
C. I and III only
D. II and III only
E. The answer is not given by (A), (B), (C), or (D)
The following charts present the posterior distribution of $\theta$.

Determine which of the shaded regions in the above plots represents the 30% highest posterior density interval (HPDI).

A. Plot 1  
B. Plot 2  
C. Plot 3  
D. Plot 4  
E. Plot 5
27.

A random variable $X$ is Poisson distributed with mean parameter $\lambda$.

The posterior distribution of $\lambda$ is:

\[
\lambda = \begin{cases} 
0.8; & \text{probability} = 0.55 \\
1.2; & \text{probability} = 0.45 
\end{cases}
\]

A sample of size 10 is drawn from the posterior distribution of $\lambda$. The 10 sampled values are:

$0.8, 0.8, 0.8, 1.2, 0.8, 1.2, 1.2, 0.8, 0.8, 1.2$

The 10 sampled $\lambda$’s are stored in a vector named lambdas in R as follows:

```
lambdas <- c(0.8, 0.8, 0.8, 1.2, 0.8, 1.2, 1.2, 0.8, 0.8, 1.2)
```

A random sample of size 10 is drawn for the random variable $X$ using the lambdas vector. The R function `rpois()` is used for sampling in the next line.

```
rpois(n = 10, lambda = lambdas)
```

The sampled values of $X$ are:

$1, 1, 3, 0, 1, 3, 0, 1, 1, 3$

Calculate the posterior predictive probability that $X = 1$ using the sampling distribution above.

A. Less than or equal to 0.2  
B. Greater than 0.2, but less than or equal to 0.4  
C. Greater than 0.4, but less than or equal to 0.6  
D. Greater than 0.6, but less than or equal to 0.8  
E. Greater than 0.8
Three plots of likelihood, prior, and posterior densities for the parameter $\theta$ are provided below. At least one of these plots was produced from a Bayesian model.

Determine which of these three plots could have been produced by a Bayesian model.

A. Plot I only
B. Plot II only
C. Plot III only
D. Plots I, II and III
E. The answer is not given by (A), (B), (C), or (D)

CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE
29.

You are given the following possible reasons to use a Bayesian multi-level model.

I. To improve estimates for repeat sampling
II. To improve estimates for imbalance in sampling
III. To avoid averaging

Determine which of the above reasons are valid.

A. None are valid
B. I and II only
C. I and III only
D. II and III only
E. The answer is not given by (A), (B), (C), or (D)
30.

You are given the following procedures:

I. Gibbs algorithm
II. Metropolis-Hastings algorithm
III. Restricted Maximum Likelihood

Determine which of the above procedures can be used to update posterior distributions.

A. None can be used
B. I and II only
C. I and III only
D. II and III only
E. The answer is not given by (A), (B), (C), or (D)
You are given a vector of data, \( y \), a vector of parameters, \( \theta \), and a probability density function, \( p \).

Determine which of the following expressions represents the likelihood function in a Bayesian model.

A. \( p(y|\theta) \)
B. \( p(\theta|y) \)
C. \( p(y, \theta) \)
D. \( p(y) \)
E. \( p(\theta) \)
A linear model has the following form:

\[ Y_i \sim \text{Normal}(\mu_i, \sigma^a) \]
\[ \mu_i = \theta_0 + \theta_1 X_{1i} + \theta_2 X_{2i}^2 + \theta_3 X_{2i} + \phi X_{3i} \]
\[ \theta_0 \sim \text{Normal}(0, 10) \]
\[ \theta_1 \sim \text{Normal}(0, 2) \]
\[ \theta_2 \sim \text{Normal}(0, 0.2) \]
\[ \theta_3 \sim \text{Normal}(-1, 1) \]
\[ \phi = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 X_{1i} \]
\[ \gamma_1 \sim \text{Normal}(0, 3) \]
\[ \gamma_2 \sim \text{Normal}(0.02, 0.6) \]
\[ \ln(\sigma) \sim \text{Uniform}(-3, 3) \]

Determine the number of parameters in this model.

A. 5
B. 6
C. 7
D. 8
E. 9
You are given multiple different Bayesian linear models. To make a better prediction, you would like to consider all the provided models. To accomplish this, you are given the following options to evaluate:

I. Average the predictions of the multiple models
II. Average the parameter values of the multiple models
III. Discard and do not report on any but the best-performing model

Determine which of the above options are valid.

A. Option I only
B. Option II only
C. Option III only
D. Options I, II and III
E. The answer is not given by (A), (B), (C), or (D)
The Metropolis algorithm is used to estimate the posterior distribution of a parameter $\theta$, which represents the probability of a flipped coin landing on heads.

Assume the following:
- The prior for $\theta$ is beta(1, 1)
- Two heads were observed in five flips
- Each proposed step in the Metropolis algorithm is the sum of the current position of the sampler and a draw from the proposal distribution

Three proposal distributions for the Metropolis algorithm are considered.

1. $\text{beta}(2, 5)$
2. $\text{uniform}(0, 1)$
3. $\text{uniform}(\text{current } \theta - 0.1, \text{current } \theta + 0.1)$

Determine which of the proposal distributions above are valid for this analysis.

A. I only
B. II only
C. III only
D. I, II and III
E. The answer is not given by (A), (B), (C), or (D)
A linear regression is modeled with the following structure:

\[
Y_i \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda_i) \\
\ln(\lambda_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1i} + \beta_2 X_{2i} \\
\beta_0 \sim \text{Normal}(0, 5) \\
\beta_1 \sim \text{Normal}(0, 2) \\
\beta_2 \sim \text{Normal}(0, 2)
\]

100 samples from four posterior distributions are placed in order from smallest to largest. Each posterior is independently ordered. The \(i^{th}\) row corresponds to the \(i^{th}\) smallest sample.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(i)</th>
<th>(\beta_0)</th>
<th>(\beta_1)</th>
<th>(\beta_2)</th>
<th>(\beta_1 + \beta_2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-5.59</td>
<td>-2.13</td>
<td>-2.86</td>
<td>-4.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-4.89</td>
<td>-1.22</td>
<td>-2.40</td>
<td>-3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-4.68</td>
<td>-1.13</td>
<td>-2.37</td>
<td>-3.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-4.54</td>
<td>-1.07</td>
<td>-1.92</td>
<td>-3.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-4.28</td>
<td>-1.06</td>
<td>-1.89</td>
<td>-2.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>5.08</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>3.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>5.38</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>3.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>5.79</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>3.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>5.80</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>3.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>6.32</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>3.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

You are told that the 98\(^{th}\) posterior interval for \(\beta_0\) is \([-4.89, 5.80]\).

Determine the upper bound of the 94\(^{th}\) posterior interval for \(\beta_1 + \beta_2\).

A. Less than or equal to 3.00  
B. Greater than 3.00 but less than or equal to 3.20  
C. Greater than 3.20 but less than or equal to 3.40  
D. Greater than 3.40 but less than or equal to 3.60  
E. Greater than 3.60  
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A training data set contains eight observations for two predictor variables, $X_1$ and $X_2$, and a response variable, $Y$. The response $Y$ has three possible classes P, N, and U.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$X_1$</th>
<th>$X_2$</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Distance from $(x_{1i}, x_{2i})$ to $(3, 2)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-2.6</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>-5.0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-3.1</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Three models are constructed using K-Nearest Neighbors and the data set above to predict $Y$ for the two-dimensional space of predictors $X_1$ and $X_2$.

- Model I: K-Nearest Neighbors with $K=1$.
- Model II: K-Nearest Neighbors with $K=3$.

Each model is used to classify the point (3, 2).

Determine the predicted response $Y$ at this point using each of the three models.

A. Model I: $Y = P$, Model II: $Y = P$, Model III: $Y = P$
C. Model I: $Y = U$, Model II: $Y = P$, Model III: $Y = P$
E. The answer is not given by (A), (B), (C), or (D).
You are given a data set consisting of 150 data points. There are three possible classifications for each data point, as shown in the leftmost graph, with 50 data points falling into each classification.

Based on this data you train a K-Nearest Neighbors model using 95 of the data points. You evaluate k ranging from 1 to 95. For each k, you calculate the test error rate on the remaining 55 data points with results shown in the rightmost graph.

Determine the cause of the rapid increase in error rate between k equals 70 and k equals 95.

A. As k increases the K-Nearest Neighbors algorithm performs better.
B. As k increases the K-Nearest Neighbors algorithm performs worse.
C. As k approaches 95, all data points are predicted to have the same classification.
D. As k approaches 95, all data points are incorrectly classified.
E. There is no clear relationship between the value of k and the error rate.
You are given the following unpruned decision tree:

The values at each terminal node are the residual sums of squares (RSS) at that node. The table below gives the RSS at nodes S, T, and X if the tree was pruned at those nodes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Node</th>
<th>RSS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The RSS for the null model is 486. You use the cost complexity pruning algorithm with the tuning parameter, $\alpha$, equal to 9 in order to evaluate the following pruning strategies.

I. No nodes pruned
II. Prune node S only
III. Prune node T only
IV. Prune node X only
V. Prune both nodes S and X

Determine which pruning strategy is selected.

A. I
B. II
C. III
D. IV
E. V
An actuary creates three tree-based models using bagging, boosting, and random forests. The error on a test data set, as a function of the number of trees in each model, is plotted on the graph below.

Determine the type of model most likely to have created each of the lines on the graph,

A. I: Boosting, II: Bagging, III: Random forest
B. I: Bagging, II: Boosting, III: Random forest
C. I: Bagging, II: Random forest, III: Boosting
D. I: Random forest, II: Bagging, III: Boosting
E. The answer is not given by (A), (B), (C), or (D).
You are given the following classification decision tree and data set:

![Decision Tree Diagram]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$i$</th>
<th>$X_1$</th>
<th>$X_2$</th>
<th>$Y$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Determine the relationship between the classification error rate, the Gini index, and the cross-entropy, summed across all nodes.

A. cross-entropy > Gini index > classification error rate  
B. cross-entropy > Gini index = classification error rate  
C. classification error rate > Gini index > cross-entropy  
D. Gini index > cross-entropy > classification error rate  
E. The answer is not given by (A), (B), (C), or (D).
You are provided with the following normalized and scaled data set:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$X_1$</th>
<th>$X_2$</th>
<th>$X_3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.577</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.577</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.577</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.732</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The first principle component loading vector of the data set is $(0.707, -0.500, -0.500)$. Calculate the proportion of variance explained by the first principle component.

A. Less than 53%
B. At least 53% but less than 58%
C. At least 58% but less than 63%
D. At least 63% but less than 68%
E. At least 68%

CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE

41
42.

You are provided the following data set with a single variable $X$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$i$</th>
<th>$X$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A dendogram is built from this data set using agglomerative hierarchical clustering with complete linkage and Euclidean distance as the dissimilarity measure.

Calculate the tree height at which observation $i = 1$ fuses.

A. Less than 6  
B. 6  
C. 7  
D. 8  
E. At least 9
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>C &amp; E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>