Occasionally, through candidate feedback, we find that improvements or additions can be made to a previously published Examiner's Report. This addendum to the 2014 spring 2014 Exam 6-U.S. Examiners' Report contains additional insights into Question 18 and we hope that it will further assist candidates in their exam preparations. Note that the release of this addendum does not reopen the appeals window for this exam.

QUES	QUESTION 18								
TOTA	FOTAL - LEARNING OBJECTIVE:								
POIN	OINT - Syllabus Section C2								
VALU	/ALUE: 6 o LO: Using RBC Formulas and IRIS ratios, evaluate an insurer's								
financial health									
			 KS A: RBC formula 						
			 KS B: Components of RBC 						
		- Syllabus	Section C4						
		0	LO: Calculate specific elements of income tax and evaluate their						
				implications for a property/casualty insurer					
				 KS A: Discounting 					
			 KS B: Elements of income tax calculation 						
 KS C: Statutory book income vs. taxable income 									
	 Alternative minimum Deferred Tay Associated Deferred Tay Liebility 								
	 Deterred Tax Asset and Deterred Tax Liability Temperature permanent differences 								
SUV	ρι ε / Δρογ	EPTED ANSWERS	- 101	nporary vs. pc	manci				
Part	$\frac{1}{2}$ 2 25 nc	nints							
rart	α. Ζ.Ζυ μι	סוותס							
Samp	ole #1								
	EP = WP -	- ΔUEPR							
	490 = 500) - ΔUEPR							
	$\Delta UEPR = 1$	10							
			X = Investment in muni bond						
	RTI =	Stat U/W Income =	5						
		+ .2 AUFPR =	10(.2)						
		+ Reserve Disc =	10						
	muni ->	+ X * 04 =	04X * 15						
	corn ->	+(1500 - X)(09) =	(1500 - X)(09)					
		+ (1000 - X)(.07) =	(1000 //)(.07)					
I		– 17 ± 006¥ ± 135 = 0	γογ						
		-152_ 08/Y	577						
	=132004λ								
I	$\Delta MTL = 152 - 084X + 75(.95(.Y)(.04))$								
	AWIII -	152υδ4Λ + . /Ο(.δΟ (λ) (.U4)) 152094Λ + . ΟΣΕΕΥ							
		ACOCU ΣCI =							
	25 (152	004V) 2(1E2 0E0							
	.35(152-	$(.004\Lambda) = .3(152058)$	oon)						
	53.ZUZ	94A = 45.0UI/55Å							
	1.6 = .011	Χσα							
	muni ->)	(= 641.35							
	corp -> 858.65 = 1500 - 641.35								

Г

	.3(AMTI) =	= .35 (RTI)		Assume x = % muni				
	AMTI = 1.167 (RTI)			1-x = % corp				
		SAP UW Ir	nc	proration	provision	for tax exe	mpt	
	K			\checkmark				
RTI =	5 + .2 ΔUE	PR + chng c	liscount +	15(.04)(x)((1500) + .09	9(1-x)(1500)		
						R		
						corp bond	s (not tax ex	empt)
=	5 + .2(500-	490) + 10 +	9x + 135 -	135x				
RTI =	152 - 126x							
AMTI =	RTI + .75(a	amount exe	empt from	tax)				
=	152 - 126x	+ .75 (.85(.	04)(x)(150	0)) = 152 -	126x + 38.2	25x - 87.75x		
Max net income =>	.3 (152 - 8	7.75x) = .35	(152 - 126)	()				
	45.6 - 26.3	325x = 53.2-	44.1x					
	17.775x =	7.6						
	x = .4275							
	*1500	> 641.35	M to muni	bonds				
			858.65:	> corporate	5			
Sample #3					-			
Say insurer invests (X)	amounts	in muni bo	ond		_			
Investment in corpora	te bond =	(1500 - X)			_			
			· · · · · · - ·					
RTI = 5 + .2 (500 - 490) +	- (1500 - X)	(.09) + (X)	(.04)(.15)	+ 10				
RTI = 5 + .2 (500 - 490) + = 17 + 13509X + .006)	- (1500 - X) ‹	(.09) + (X)	(.04)(.15)	+ 10	_			
RTI = 5 + .2 (500 - 490) + = 17 + 13509X + .006) =152084X	- (1500 - X) <	(.09) + (X)	(.04)(.15)	+ 10	-			
RTI = 5 + .2 (500 - 490) + = 17 + 13509X + .006) =152084X AMTI = 152084X + .75	+ (1500 - X) < 5(.85 * .04	(.09) + (X) (X))	(.04)(.15)	+ 10	-			
RTI = 5 + .2 (500 - 490) + = 17 + 13509X + .006) =152084X AMTI = 152084X + .75 = 152084X + .0255X	+ (1500 - X) < 5(.85 * .04	(.09) + (X) (X))	(.04)(.15)	+ 10	-			
RTI = 5 + .2 (500 - 490) + = 17 + 13509X + .006) =152084X AMTI = 152084X + .75 = 152084X + .0255X =1520585X	+ (1500 - X) < 5(.85 * .04((.09) + (X) (X))	(.04)(.15)	+ 10	-			
RTI = 5 + .2 (500 - 490) + = 17 + 13509X + .006) =152084X AMTI = 152084X + .75 = 152084X + .0255X =1520585X	+ (1500 - X) K 5(.85 * .04	(.09) + (X) (X))	(.04)(.15)	+ 10	-			
RTI = 5 + .2 (500 - 490) + = 17 + 13509X + .006) =152084X AMTI = 152084X + .75 = 152084X + .0255X =1520585X	+ (1500 - X) K 5(.85 * .04(((.09) + (X) (X)) (RTI)	(.04)(.15)	+ 10				
RTI = 5 + .2 (500 - 490) + = 17 + 13509X + .006) =152084X AMTI = 152084X + .75 = 152084X + .0255X =1520585X To optimize tax: (.30) A .3 (1520585X) = .35 ()	+ (1500 - X) K 5(.85 * .04(AMTI = .35 152084X	((.09) + (X) (X)) (RTI)	(.04)(.15)	+ 10				
RTI = 5 + .2 (500 - 490) + $= 17 + 13509X + .006)$ $= 152084X$ $AMTI = 152084X + .75$ $= 152084X + .0255X$ $= 1520585X$ $To optimize tax: (.30) A$ $.3 (1520585X) = .35 (200)$	(1500 - X) (5(.85 * .04) (\MTI = .35 152084) ()294X	((.09) + (X) (X)) (RTI) ()	(.04)(.15)	+ 10				
RTI = 5 + .2 (500 - 490) + = 17 + 13509X + .006) = 152084X AMTI = 152084X + .75 = 152084X + .0255X = 1520585X To optimize tax: (.30) A .3 (1520585X) = .35 (45.601755X = 53.2100 Allocated to municipal	AMTI = .35 152084 0294X	((.09) + (X) (X)) (RTI) ()	(.04)(.15)	+ 10				

	100% to Corporate	X% Municipal					
Regular Taxable Income (RTI):							
Statutory Underwriting Income	= 5	= 5					
Change in UPR (20% Δ UPR)	=0.2*(500-490) = 2	=0.2*(500-490) = 2					
Change in loss reserve discount	= 10	= 10					
Yield on bonds	= .09*1*1500 = 135	=135(1-x) + 60x 17.125(1-x) + 60x(1-5) - 152 - 125X + 0x - 152 - 126x					
Rill Regular Income Tax	= 5+2+10+135 = 152 = 152 * 35 = 53 2	$= 17 + 133(1-x) + 00x(.13) = 132 - 133x + 9x = 132 - 120x$ $= (152 - 126x)^{*}.35$					
	- 102 .00 - 00.2						
Alternative Min Taxable Income (AM	Г <u>I):</u>						
AMTI	= 152	=152 - 126x + (.75 *.85 *.04 *1500x) = 152 - 126x +38.25x = 152 - 87.75					
Alternative Income Tax	= 152 * .3 = 45.6	= (152 - 87.75x)*.3					
	1000/						
Allocation:	100%	$(152 - 126X)^{-3} (152 - 87.75X)^{-3}$					
		53. 1 - 44. $I_X = 45.0 - 20.323X$ 53. 2 - 44. $I_X = 45.6 - 26.325X$					
		7.6 = 17.775x					
		x = .4275					
		\$ municipal = 641.35; \$ corporate = 858.65					
T -		24.24705					
	= 53.2	= 34.34725					
After tax net income:	= 17+135-53 2 = 98 8	= 17+102 9375-34 725 = 85 59025					
reater than the optimal m Part b: 3.25 points	the after-tax income hix of corporate and i	gained from investing 100% in corporate bonds is municipal bonds, invest 100% in corporate bonds.					
as shown above, because f preater than the optimal m Part b: 3.25 points Sample #1	the after-tax income hix of corporate and i	gained from investing 100% in corporate bonds is municipal bonds, invest 100% in corporate bonds.					
as shown above, because f greater than the optimal m Part b: 3.25 points Sample #1 muni bonds charge 641.35	the after-tax income hix of corporate and i	gained from investing 100% in corporate bonds is municipal bonds, invest 100% in corporate bonds.					
as shown above, because i greater than the optimal m Part b: 3.25 points Sample #1 muni bonds charge 641.35 corp bonds charge (1500 -	the after-tax income hix of corporate and i 5 * .02 = 12.827 641.35) * .10 = 85.865	gained from investing 100% in corporate bonds is municipal bonds, invest 100% in corporate bonds.					
As shown above, because f greater than the optimal m Part b: 3.25 points Sample #1 muni bonds charge 641.35 corp bonds charge (1500 - R1 = 12 827 + 85 865 = 98 6	the after-tax income hix of corporate and 1 5 * .02 = 12.827 641.35) * .10 = 85.865 92	gained from investing 100% in corporate bonds is municipal bonds, invest 100% in corporate bonds.					
Part b: 3.25 points Sample #1 muni bonds charge 641.35 corp bonds charge (1500 - R1 = 12.827 + 85.865 = 98.6	the after-tax income hix of corporate and 1 5 * .02 = 12.827 641.35) * .10 = 85.865 92	gained from investing 100% in corporate bonds is municipal bonds, invest 100% in corporate bonds.					
As shown above, because f greater than the optimal m Part b: 3.25 points Sample #1 muni bonds charge 641.35 corp bonds charge (1500 - R1 = 12.827 + 85.865 = 98.6 R0 = 50	the after-tax income hix of corporate and i 5 * .02 = 12.827 641.35) * .10 = 85.865 92	gained from investing 100% in corporate bonds is municipal bonds, invest 100% in corporate bonds.					
The colored by the col	the after-tax income hix of corporate and i 5 * .02 = 12.827 641.35) * .10 = 85.865 92	gained from investing 100% in corporate bonds is municipal bonds, invest 100% in corporate bonds.					
As shown above, because figreater than the optimal mean part b: 3.25 points Sample #1 muni bonds charge 641.35 corp bonds charge (1500 - R1 = 12.827 + 85.865 = 98.6 R0 = 50 R2 = 50 * .15 = 7.5 R3 = .1 * (15-1.5) = 1.35 * 1	the after-tax income hix of corporate and 1 5 * .02 = 12.827 641.35) * .10 = 85.865 92 /2 = .675	gained from investing 100% in corporate bonds is municipal bonds, invest 100% in corporate bonds.					
As shown above, because figreater than the optimal mean part b: 3.25 points Sample #1 muni bonds charge 641.35 corp bonds charge (1500 - R1 = 12.827 + 85.865 = 98.6 R0 = 50 R2 = 50 * .15 = 7.5 R3 = .1 * (15-1.5) = 1.35 * 1 R4 = 100 + 1/2* (.1 * (15 - 1)	the after-tax income hix of corporate and 1 $5^* .02 = 12.827$ 641.35) * .10 = 85.865 92 /2 = .675 .5)) = 100.675	gained from investing 100% in corporate bonds is municipal bonds, invest 100% in corporate bonds.					
As shown above, because figreater than the optimal mean part b: 3.25 points Sample #1 muni bonds charge 641.35 corp bonds charge (1500 - R1 = 12.827 + 85.865 = 98.6 R0 = 50 R2 = 50 * .15 = 7.5 R3 = .1 * (15-1.5) = 1.35 * 1 R4 = 100 + 1/2* (.1 * (15 - 1)	the after-tax income hix of corporate and 1 $5^* .02 = 12.827$ 641.35) * .10 = 85.865 92 /2 = .675 .5)) = 100.675	gained from investing 100% in corporate bonds is municipal bonds, invest 100% in corporate bonds.					
As shown above, because figreater than the optimal mean part b: 3.25 points Sample #1 muni bonds charge 641.35 corp bonds charge (1500 - R1 = 12.827 + 85.865 = 98.6 R0 = 50 R2 = 50 * .15 = 7.5 R3 = .1 * (15-1.5) = 1.35 * 1 R4 = 100 + 1/2* (.1 * (15 - 1) R5 = 130	the after-tax income hix of corporate and 1 5 * .02 = 12.827 641.35) * .10 = 85.865 92 /2 = .675 .5)) = 100.675	gained from investing 100% in corporate bonds is municipal bonds, invest 100% in corporate bonds.					
As shown above, because figreater than the optimal mean part b: 3.25 points Sample #1 muni bonds charge 641.35 corp bonds charge (1500 - R1 = 12.827 + 85.865 = 98.6 R0 = 50 R2 = 50 * .15 = 7.5 R3 = .1 * (15-1.5) = 1.35 * 1 R4 = 100 + 1/2* (.1 * (15 - 1) R5 = 130 2012 RBC requirement = 5	the after-tax income hix of corporate and i 5 * .02 = 12.827 641.35) * .10 = 85.865 92 /2 = .675 .5)) = 100.675 $0 + (98.692^2 + 7.5^2 + .6)$	gained from investing 100% in corporate bonds is municipal bonds, invest 100% in corporate bonds.					
As shown above, because figreater than the optimal mean part b: 3.25 points Sample #1 muni bonds charge 641.35 corp bonds charge (1500 - R1 = 12.827 + 85.865 = 98.6 R0 = 50 R2 = 50 * .15 = 7.5 R3 = .1 * (15-1.5) = 1.35 * 1 R4 = 100 + 1/2* (.1 * (15 - 1) R5 = 130 2012 RBC requirement = 5	the after-tax income hix of corporate and i 5*.02 = 12.827 641.35)*.10 = 85.865 92 /2 = .675 .5)) = 100.675 $0 + (98.692^2 + 7.5^2 + .6)$	gained from investing 100% in corporate bonds is municipal bonds, invest 100% in corporate bonds.					
As shown above, because for a constraint of the optimal model greater than the optimal model Part b: 3.25 points Sample #1 muni bonds charge 641.35 corp bonds charge $(1500 - R1 = 12.827 + 85.865 = 98.6$ R0 = 50 R2 = 50 * .15 = 7.5 R3 = .1 * $(15 - 1.5) = 1.35 * 1$ R4 = 100 + $1/2^*$ (.1 * $(15 - 1)$ R5 = 130 2012 RBC requirement = 5 RBC ratio (using 30% AMT	the after-tax income hix of corporate and i $5^* .02 = 12.827$ 641.35) * .10 = 85.865 92 (2 = .675 .5)) = 100.675 $0 + (98.692^2 + 7.5^2 + .6)$ I tax rate) = $(235 - 2)/6$	gained from investing 100% in corporate bonds is municipal bonds, invest 100% in corporate bonds.					
As shown above, because is preater than the optimal mean part b: 3.25 points Sample #1 muni bonds charge 641.35 corp bonds charge (1500 - R1 = 12.827 + 85.865 = 98.6 R0 = 50 R2 = 50 * .15 = 7.5 R3 = .1 * (15-1.5) = 1.35 * 1 R4 = 100 + 1/2* (.1 * (15 - 1 R5 = 130 2012 RBC requirement = 5 RBC ratio (using 30% AMT Using 20% tax rate, RBC ratio	the after-tax income hix of corporate and i $5^* .02 = 12.827$ 641.35) * .10 = 85.865 92 /2 = .675 .5)) = 100.675 $0 + (98.692^2 + 7.5^2 + .6)$ I tax rate) = (235 - 2)/(tio is 211% > 200%, th	gained from investing 100% in corporate bonds is municipal bonds, invest 100% in corporate bonds.					
As shown above, because i greater than the optimal m Part b: 3.25 points Sample #1 muni bonds charge 641.35 corp bonds charge $(1500 - R1 = 12.827 + 85.865 = 98.6$ R0 = 50 R2 = $50 * .15 = 7.5$ R3 = .1 * $(15 - 1.5) = 1.35 * 1$ R4 = $100 + 1/2^* (.1 * (15 - 1))$ R5 = 130 2012 RBC requirement = 5 RBC ratio (using 30% AMT) Using 20% tax rate, RBC ratio	the after-tax income hix of corporate and i 5*.02 = 12.827 641.35)*.10 = 85.865 92 /2 = .675 .5)) = 100.675 $0 + (98.692^2 + 7.5^2 + .6)$ I tax rate) = (235 - 2)/(tio is 211% > 200%, th	gained from investing 100% in corporate bonds is municipal bonds, invest 100% in corporate bonds.					
As shown above, because figreater than the optimal mean part b: 3.25 points Sample #1 muni bonds charge 641.35 corp bonds charge (1500 - R1 = 12.827 + 85.865 = 98.6 R0 = 50 R2 = 50 * .15 = 7.5 R3 = .1 * (15-1.5) = 1.35 * 1 R4 = 100 + 1/2* (.1 * (15 - 1 R5 = 130 2012 RBC requirement = 5 RBC ratio (using 30% AMT Using 30% tax rate, RBC ratio	the after-tax income hix of corporate and i $5^* .02 = 12.827$ $641.35)^* .10 = 85.865$ 92 /2 = .675 .5)) = 100.675 $0 + (98.692^2 + 7.5^2 + .6)$ I tax rate) = (235 - 2)/(tio is 211% > 200%, the itio drops to 193%, when the second secon	gained from investing 100% in corporate bonds is municipal bonds, invest 100% in corporate bonds.					
As shown above, because figreater than the optimal mean part b: 3.25 points Sample #1 muni bonds charge 641.35 corp bonds charge (1500 - R1 = 12.827 + 85.865 = 98.6 R0 = 50 R2 = 50 * .15 = 7.5 R3 = .1 * (15-1.5) = 1.35 * 1 R4 = 100 + 1/2* (.1 * (15 - 1 R5 = 130 2012 RBC requirement = 5 RBC ratio (using 30% AMT Using 30% tax rate, RBC ratio Using 30% tax rate, RBC ratio	the after-tax income hix of corporate and i 5*.02 = 12.827 641.35)*.10 = 85.865 92 /2 = .675 .5)) = 100.675 $0 + (98.692^2 + 7.5^2 + .6)$ I tax rate) = (235 - 2)/0 tio is 211% > 200%, the tio drops to 193%, where the approximate of the target of target of the target of t	gained from investing 100% in corporate bonds is municipal bonds, invest 100% in corporate bonds.					

Sample #2					
R1 = 2% * (641.35) + 10% (1500 - 641.35)	= 98.692	RBC = RO	+ (R1 ² + R2 ²	$(2^{2} + \dots)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 24$	1.92
R2 = 15% * 50 = 7.50					
$R3 = (15 - 1.5) * 10\% = 1.35> \div 2 = .675$		adj PHS =	235 - 2 = 23	3	
rsv > reins recov> split					
R4 = 100 + 0.675 = 100.675		RBC ratio	= 233/(241	.92/2) = 192	2.6%
RBC ratio falls into "company action lev	el range" (18	50-200%) so	o company	must subr	nit a plan
on how it will increase surplus or reduc	ce risk				

Sample #3

Calculation of RBC ratio using allocation of 100% to corporate bonds (0% to municipal bonds)

	0% to
	Municipals
RO	50.00
R1	150.00
R2	7.50
R3	0.68
R4	100.68
R5	130.00
RBC	272.69
Adj PHS	233.00
RBC ratio	171%

Part c: 0.5 point

Sample #1

Maximize tax advantage but add stipulation to keep RBC > 200% by incorporating RBC charge in calculation.

Sample #2

Use a bond portfolio that will maximize your net income while maintaining RBC % levels above 200%.

Sample #3

Allocate for maximum net income, but stay above 200% RBC ratio.

Sample #4

Optimal allocation is one that makes AMIT = RIT, but also want to optimize so don't get RBC ratio below 200%. So need to use latter as constraint.

EXAMINER'S REPORT

Part a

The question required the candidate to calculate the RIT and AMIT at the new 2012 AMIT rate. Further the question required the candidate to know that in order to determine the optimal bond allocation they should set AMIT = RIT.

Full credit was given for

~calculating all of the components of RTI and assembling correctly

~applying the appropriate RIT rate

~determining the AMIT calculation

~applying the new 30% AMIT rate

~setting these two tax amounts equal

Most candidates were able to demonstrate that they knew AMIT must equal RIT in order to optimize bond allocation, i.e. maximize net income/minimize tax

Common mistakes:

- Candidates included common stock in investment income. Common stocks are not taxable, only their dividends are. The problem specifically notes that the stock was not dividend bearing in RTI.
- Candidates neglected to include or miscalculated the change in unearned premium reserve
- Candidates incorporated only the bond without including the remaining components of taxable income.
- When setting up their RTI formula candidates subtracted the pro-rated portion of bond income without first including the full amount of bond income.
- In RTI, candidates subtracted change in loss reserve discount instead of adding.
- Candidates used the prior AMIT rate of 20%.

Part b

Incorporating the bond allocation from Subpart A, candidates were required to calculate the various components of RBC charge and ultimately calculate the RBC ratio for the company after the revised AMIT tax rate. Candidates then needed to identify the RBC Action Level and explain what action was required.

Most candidates were able to demonstrate knowledge of the RBC charge square root formula and the RBC ratio formula.

Common mistakes:

- For R3, it was necessary to adjust for the provision of reinsurance. Some candidates did not adjust or adjusted incorrectly.
- Candidates set up the RBC charge correctly, but used the incorrect RBC charge rate in various components.
- To determine the RBC ratio candidates needed to adjust the Policyholder Surplus by subtracting the non-tabular discount. Some candidates either missed the adjustment or added the discount.
- Most candidates received credit when they identified the RBC action level and required action. Generally points were lost when candidates omitted this part of the question or just made some comments about the change over the prior calculated ratio from within the question.

Part c

Full credit was given to candidates who indicated that the company needed to incorporate the optimal bond allocation determined in Subpart A (maximizing net income/minimizing taxes) while incorporating the criteria that the RBC ratio should not fall below the Company Action Level of 200%.

Partial credit was given to candidates who identified either maximizing net income/reducing taxes OR reducing RBC charge/increasing RBC ratio, but not both.