

From First Gear to Full Torque: Auto Insurance in the Era of Autonomous Vehicles

INAN

Crash Course in Vehicle Technology and Driverless Car

July 20, 2018

INTRODUCTION

Presentation Overview







First Gear: Early Adoption

Self driving features in today's vehicles and planned production

FIRST GEAR Key Elements for Transformation

A variety of forces will be responsible for the foundational transformation across the driving ecosystem





FIRST GEAR Phases of Transformation

No one has a crystal ball to predict the future pace of change. As we synthesized our initial analyses, we envision there to be four potential incremental changes to the transformation over the next 25 years, with the foundation laid for a "new normal" within a decade

"Training Wheels" Now - 2017	 Introduction to autonomous vehicles as manufacturers roll out some of the underlying technology High-tech companies express interest in fast-tracking production of fully autonomous vehicles SAE Phase 1
"First Gear" 2017 - 2020	 In 2017, partial driver substitution technology is introduced. A broader set of consumers experience this technology, witnessing firsthand its safety and soundness This helps shift market perceptions. Potential mandate from NHTSA for V2V communications SAE Phase 2
"Acceleration" 2020 - 2025	 Five years from now, fully autonomous all-speed vehicles become more common V2V capabilities are likely to be embedded in all new vehicles and the increase in scale drives down costs, making the technology accessible to a larger segment of consumers SAE Phase 4
"Full Speed" 2025 - 2050	 In 2025, a broad-based transformation begins. All new vehicles have autonomous capabilities and existing vehicles are potentially retrofitted Over the next 25 years, integrated driving emerges, a web of information is flowing between vehicles and infrastructure tightens. A "new normal" is realized by 2050 SAE Phase 5



First Gear

Model phases in adoption of automation over the next 15 years



Source(s): NHTSA, SAE



First Gear

Company announcements to date indicate that autonomous vehicles being developed aggressively with plans to launch post-

2020

AV Launch Timeline



Source(s): CB Insights, The WSJ, Autonews.com, Topgear.com, Driverlessfuture.com, Business Insider, Wired, The Guardian, Slashgear, Drive, Huffington Post, Motoring.com, The Verge, IEEE, CNBC



FIRST GEAR

Traditional OEMs and mobility providers are rushing in with investment to accelerate AV development

	New Entrants: Tech Companies		Traditional OEMs	
WAAMO	Owned by Alphabet, it launched public trials for fully autonomous ride- hailing service in Phoenix using Pacifica mini-vans sourced from its partner Fiat Chrysler		Invested \$180M to form a JV with Mobvoi ; Announced partnership with Mobileye to leverage its real-time mapping service into its vehicles by 2018	
Balades	Developing an open-source autonomous driving platform (Apollo) involving over 50 partners across hardware, software and cloud data services (key companies include Ford Daimler, NVidia, Intel, Velodyne)	RENAULT RISSAN	Partnered with Transdev to develop AV for public transportation in France and announced roll out 40 AVs by 2022	
		FCA	Partnered with BMW and Intel in Aug 2017 to develop AVs	
é	Apple began its self-driving car project (Project Titan) in 2014 and recently announced its revised strategy to focus on autonomous software to be developed for carmakers. It plans to test autonomous shuttles in Palo Alto by sourcing vehicles elsewhere	Fird	Committed \$1B over the next 5 years in a JV with Argo AI to develop AI for AVs and aim to launch fully AV by 2021	
	New Entrants: Ridehailing Companies	<u>GM</u>	Acquired Cruise Automation for \$1B to obtain software talent and accelerate AV technology development	
🔽 Di Di	Partnered with UiSee Technology, a driverless car start-up based in Beijing, in Oct 2016 to explore their road-scanning technology for self-driving cars			
		DAIMLER	Holds over 900 patents related to autonomy and have partnered with Bosch to bring level 4 AVs within five years	
UBER	Cars using their proprietary technology; launched public trials of fully AV in Dec 2016 Acquired Otto a self driving truck start-up, in Aug 2016 to strengthen their self-		Plans to commercialize self-driving cars by 2020 and invest ~\$1.7B to develop autonomous driving technologies in the next 5 years	
UBER	Cars using their proprietary technology; launched public trials of fully AV in Dec 2016 Acquired Otto, a self driving truck start-up, in Aug 2016 to strengthen their self-	HYLINDIA	Plans to commercialize self-driving cars by 2020 and invest ~\$1.7B to develop autonomous driving technologies in the next 5 years	
UBER	Partnered with Volvo in Nov 2017 for 24,000 cars to turn them into self-driving cars using their proprietary technology; launched public trials of fully AV in Dec 2016 Acquired Otto, a self driving truck start-up, in Aug 2016 to strengthen their self-driving technology Launched a new self driving division in Jul 2017 to develop its own autonomous technology; Partnered with Drive.ai to provide self-driving rides in San Francisco		Plans to commercialize self-driving cars by 2020 and invest ~\$1.7B to develop autonomous driving technologies in the next 5 years Announced partnership with Google's Waymo in Dec 2016 to develop self driving technologies and plans to launch level 4 AV by 2020 and level 5 AV by 2025	

Note(s): Miles driven with Tesla's semi-autonomous feature

Source(s): Company websites, Telematics Wire, Forbes, TechCrunch, Financial Times, China Money Network, Business Insider September 2017, Futurism Website 2017, Fortune 2017



© 2018 KPMG LLP, a Delaware limited liability partnership and the U.S. member firm of the KPMG network of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Cooperative ("KPMG International"), a Swiss entity. All rights reserved.

First Gear

Current Impact - ADAS efficacy from various sources

					Testing Metho	od Assessment		Test P	arameter Asse	ssment
ADAS Technology	Collision Type	Source	Reduction Estimate	Estimate Method	Weather	Geography	Speed	Sample Size	OEM Diversity	Publication Date
Rear	Rear	IIHS	17%	✓	✓	√	✓		 ✓ 	
Camera	Rear	AAA	30%	✓			✓		×	×
	All	IIHS	11%	✓	✓	×	✓		✓	
Lane Detection	All	NCBI	23%	✓			✓	✓		
Warning System	All	EUROPA	33%	✓				×		
	All	ААА	3%	✓						×
Blind Spot	Side	IIHS	14%	✓	✓	√	✓			
Detection	Side	EUROPA	33%	✓						
	Side	IIHS	13%	✓			✓		×	✓
Cross	Side	Consumer Reports	31%	×						
Traffic Alert	Side	SAE	39%	✓	×	×				×
	Side	IIHS	2%	×			×		×	×
Legend Estimate Method ✓ Collision Data ✓ Simulation			tion 🗴 Surve	y / Other	Other	🗸 Inclusiv	/e / Favorable	× Limited / I	Jnfavorable	



Note(s): Missing assessment indicates no information was provided. Assumes collision data assessment of random sample incorporates weather, geography and OEMs © 2018 KPMG LLP, a Delaware limited liability partnership and the U.S. member firm of the KPMG network of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Cooperative ("KPMG International"), a Swiss entity. All rights reserved.

FIRST GEAR

Current Impact - ADAS efficacy from various sources

					Testing Metho	od Assessment		Test F	arameter Asse	ssment
ADAS Technology	Collision Type	Source	Reduction Estimate	Estimate Method	Weather	Geography	Speed	Sample Size	OEM Diversity	Publication Date
Forward Collision	Rear	IIHS	27%	✓	✓	✓	×		✓	✓
	Rear	DOT	27%	✓	✓	✓	✓			~
	Rear	IIHS	23%	✓		✓			✓	
Warning	All	NCBI	67%	×			×	✓		
	Rear	AAA	10%	✓				×		×
	Rear	IIHS	50%	✓	✓	✓	×		✓	 ✓
	Rear	DOT	43%	✓	✓	✓	✓			~
Automatic Emergency Braking	Rear	IIHS	40%	✓		✓			✓	
	All	IIHS	17%	✓	✓	✓	×			
	Rear	EU NCAP	38%	✓	✓	✓	×			×
Adaptive	Rear	Academic	10%	✓						×
Cruise Control	Rear	AAA	17%	*					*	×



Note(s): Missing assessment indicates no information was provided. Assumes collision data assessment of random sample incorporates weather, geography and OEMs, select sources/(studies shows for AEB mited liability partnership and the U.S. member firm of the KPMG network of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Cooperative ("KPMG International"), a Swiss entity. All rights reserved.

First Gear

Federal as well as State governments in the US have taken measures to enact legislations in favor of autonomous vehicles

Autonomous Vehicles Legislations – Federal and State

At the national level, the SELF DRIVE Act, if passed, would authorize the deployment and regulation of autonomous vehicles on the road over the next few years years

su	Federal legislation	Provision
Legislatio d Policy	SELF DRIVE Act (Yet to be passed by Senate)	 Allows each autonomous car maker to put up to 25,000 autonomous vehicles on the road in the first year. Over the three years, the cap would rise to 100,000 vehicles annually Also exempts automakers from having to meet some existing safety standards if they can prove a new design is safe
Federal and	Federal Automated Vehicles Policy	 Reduces a 15-point safety assessment before putting test vehicles on the road to a 12-point voluntary assessment, asking automakers to consider things like cybersecurity, crash protection, how the vehicle interacts with occupants and the backup plans if the vehicle encounters a problem

... while 21 states, such as the following, have also passed legislations over the last few years to encourage the development, testing and deployment of fully-autonomous vehicles

	State	Provision
	California	 Allows testing and deployment of autonomous vehicle on state roads without a human operator
s	Nevada	 Allows for testing and operation of fully-autonomous vehicles; also authorizes commercial use of fully autonomous vehicles
slation	Washington	 Executive order signed by the Governor in June 2017, establishing an autonomous vehicle work group and directing state agencies to support the safe testing and operation of autonomous vehicles on Washington's public roads
e Legi	Florida	 Allows operation of autonomous vehicles on public roads and eliminates requirements related to the testing of autonomous vehicles and the presence of a driver in the vehicle
Stat	Michigan	 Allows fully autonomous vehicles, including those without drivers and steering wheels, to begin using public roadways
	Arizona	 Executive order signed by the Governor in August 2015, permitting several agencies to take adequate steps to aid testing and operation of automated vehicles on public roads in the state
	Massachusetts	 Executive order signed by the Governor in October 2016 to promote the testing and deployment of autonomous vehicles, by creating a working group on autonomous vehicles

Note(s): SELF DRIVE Act - Safety Ensuring Lives Future Deployment and Research in Vehicle Evolution Act Source(s): Reuters, NCSL Website, The Verge, The Columbian Website, Computerworld Website, US DoT, NHTSA



FIRST GEAR

KPMG

Lyft's Point of View on Autonomous Technology Roll Out

Lyft says robots will drive most of its cars in five years

Expect to see semi-autonomous vehicles driving on fixed routes by 2017 in a subscription model.

BY JOHANA BHUIYAN · @JMBOOYAH · SEP 18, 2016, 9:15A



KPMG

Acceleration: Estimated Impact

Long term scenario testing indicates loss costs may plummet

Actuarial Analysis

Working closely with our automotive team and leveraging their extensive research, KPMG's Actuarial Team developed models to translate the technology and market changes in order to demonstrate the potential impact on auto insurer performance





Adoption Assumptions

KPMG developed a model to test the potential effects of Autonomous vehicles on the auto insurance marketplace. The first assumption of the model mapped the cumulative effect of the four phases of advancing technology (per the baseline scenario) on the stock of total cars. By 2028, cars with some degree of automated controls could account for over half of those in use and nearly all vehicles by 2050.



Source: KPMG LLP actuarial analysis



Accident Frequency

Given the new safety technology in autonomous vehicles, the KPMG Actuarial Team predicts a potential 90% reduction in accident frequency by 2050, which is the largest driver of loss reduction



Source: KPMG LLP actuarial analysis



Loss Severity

The KPMG Actuarial Team modeled severity broadly in line with inflationary trends. There are, however, a variety of different potential scenarios that could have a significant impact on severity over time



Source: KPMG LLP actuarial analysis



Industry Loss Costs

Safer vehicles could result in total auto insurance industry losses decreasing by 60% by 2050 with commercial and product liability accounting for a larger portion of the loss pie



Source: KPMG LLP actuarial analysis



Automated Vehicle Technology is Making Driving Safer...Today

Crash avoidance features which underpin autonomous vehicle safety technology are already improving the safety profile of vehicles...



...furthermore, according to recent findings⁽¹⁾, more than 700,000 police-reported rear-end crashes in 2013 could have been avoided if the vehicles involved were equipped with autobrake technology

Note: (1) Study analyzes police-reported rear-end crashes in 27 states during 2010-2014 involving Acura, Honda, Mercedes-Benz, Subaru and Volvo vehicles with forward collision warning ("warning") and autonomous emergency breaking ("autobrake") vs. the same models without the optional technology; (2) 'City Safety' represents Volvo's low-speed autobrake system. The test was conducted by comparing two Volvo models with City Safety vs. other vehicles without front crash prevention technology; and (3) Study examines Honda's camera-based and radar-based forward collision and lane departure warning systems for vehicles equipped with these features vs. vehicles without them, bucketed by driver age group. Source: IIHS's research papers 'Effectiveness of Forward Collision Warning Systems with and without Autonomous Emergency Braking System in Reducing Police-Reported Crash Rates' and 'Effectiveness of Volvo's City Safety Low-Speed Autonomous Emergency Braking System in Reducing Police-Reported Crash Rates' and 'Effectiveness of Volvo's City Safety Low-Speed Autonomous Emergency Braking System in Reducing Police-Reported Crash Rates' and IIHS's 'Status Report, Vol. 51, No. 1, January 2016'



Acceleration Potential Business Mix Composition

While personal and commercial auto insurance represents the whole loss pie in 2017, products liability insurance will play a greater role in the future as the vehicles themselves make more driving decisions



Note: (1) Based on KPMG LLP actuarial analysis

KPMG



Acceleration Potential Business Mix Composition

By removing the driver from Mobility Services, EV AV MaaS will become the lowest-cost mode of private transportation



Note(s): Average Uber cost per mile for 5 mile / 20 min. trip in top 10 largest US cities; 2015 AAA estimate assumes 15K miles/year, 2030 Fleet EV AV assumes 110K miles/year

Source(s): AAA, NHTSA, Business Insider, Uber, KPMG Analysis



KPMG

Market Implications: Preparing for the Future

Potential impact on insurers' books of business and the consumer marketplace for insurance

Market Implications Insurance Industry View on Timeline

Currently, there is significant skepticism among insurance leaders about the potential for autonomous vehicles to transform the industry - few insurers have taken action, most likely because many believe the change will happen far into the future, if at all



Source: KPMG LLP's 2015 Automobile Insurance in the Era of Autonomous Vehicles Survey Results



Insurer Excess Capital

The good news is that personal auto insurers have lots of capital, giving them significant financial flexibility. The bad news is that this large capital cushion may also give many a false sense of security

Capital Position of Top 15 Personal Auto Insurers' Overall P&C Businesses⁽¹⁾



Note: (1) 2015 statutory P&C insurance data aggregated for the top 15 writers of private passenger auto direct premium written, based on SNL groups / unaffiliated companies. Required capital was calculated by dividing total P&C NPW by two given an assumed NPW / capital & surplus ratio of 2:1. Excess capital is then calculated by subtracting required capital and surplus from total capital & surplus of the top 15 personal auto insurers on an aggregate basis. Source: SNL Financial



Insurer Impact

Autonomous vehicle technology will result in a dramatically safer driver experience, thereby significantly impacting the insurance marketplace by reducing traffic fatalities and other losses



Source: KPMG LLP actuarial analysis and US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2010)



The Consumer, the Autonomous Vehicle and Insurance

From safety to saving money on insurance dollars spent, autonomous vehicles have the potential to positively impact consumers in a variety of different ways, although associated risks of this new technology also must be considered





26

The OEM Advantage - Data and the Customer Relationship

Ultimately, the original equipment manufacturers ("OEMs") have the ability to not only control the data, but also the customer relationship, thereby dramatically altering the traditional auto insurance model





Potential Business Models

The (re)entrance of OEMs into insurance could take a variety of forms

	Illustrative Future State Business Models							
	Entity	Scenario A	Scenario B	Scenario C	Scenario D			
OEMs		 Provide driving and vehicle data to insurers 	 Become distributor of insurance for a selected set of carriers 	 Act as an insurance company with many functions outsourced 	 Become a fully integrated insurance company 			
	Strategic Angle		 Brand, customer connectivity 	 Product advantage 	 Product advantage 			
	Revenue Model	• Fees	Commissions	 Underwriting profit and investment income (annuity) Vehicle and parts sales 	 Underwriting profit and investment income (annuity) Vehicle and parts sales 			
Insurer		 License data from OEMs to underwrite policies 	 Form alliances with OEMs 	 Serve as third-party administrators - for example, current insurers could process the claims of the OEMs 	 Transform business model to compete with new entrants Expand into new products and services 			



Preparing for the Future - Auto Insurance Considerations













KPMG Contact

Name	Nate Loughin
Title	Manger, Actuarial and Insurance Risk
Office	+ 1 610 230 2068
Email	nloughin@kpmg.com



Some or all of the services described herein may not be permissible for KPMG audit clients and their affiliates.



kpmg.com/socialmedia

The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to address the circumstances of any particular individual or entity. Although we endeavor to provide accurate and timely information, there can be no guarantee that such information is accurate as of the date it is received or that it will continue to be accurate in the future. No one should act on such information without appropriate professional advice after a thorough examination of the particular situation.

© 2018 KPMG LLP, a Delaware limited liability partnership and the U.S. member firm of the KPMG network of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Cooperative ("KPMG International"), a Swiss entity. All rights reserved.

The KPMG name and logo are registered trademarks or trademarks of KPMG International.