THE COMPENSATION COST OF OCCUPATIONAL DISEASE.

ВΥ

JAMES D. MADDRILL.

The economic principle that loss of earning power properly attributable to employment should be borne by the consumers of the products is now quite generally accepted. To apply it to the indemnification of disease requires a careful definition of the hazard, if equitable compensation is to be realized with a minimum of litigation. Questions of contributory negligence of employee, assumption of risk, proof of fault of employer, the fellow servant rule, etc., no longer of any considerable moment in the plan of industrial accident indemnification, will probably never seriously affect disease compensation; and it is to be hoped that the problem will not always be unduly complicated by the present very real and exceptional difficulty of distinguishing bona fide occupational diseases from those not actually "arising out of and in the course of" the employment.

Competent inspection and safety engineering may be depended upon to effectively supplement legislation in eradicating unnecessary hazards of disease as well as of accident in trade processes, and the experience of the employers' liability system has demonstrated that the unavoidable destruction of human earning capacity may best be accepted without quibble as properly the burden of the consumer, along with mechanical wear and tear, and applied to the price of the commodity.

Here is a fertile field for the business of insurance, which, once opened to the companies, will be entered with the usual zest and solicitation manifested in scores of other fields, few of which can be compared in importance with the indemnification—and conservation—of human health.

Occupational diseases are defined by Dr. W. Gilman Thompson in his recent book "The Occupational Diseases" as "maladies due to specific poisons, mechanical irritants, physical and mental strain, or faulty environment, resulting from specific conditions of labor." We shall understand "occupational disease" to exclude disease or infection definitely resulting from accident—taking "accident" in the ordinary sense of the word.

All the statutes evidently contemplate the compensation of disease or infection resulting from accident, whether they so specify or not, but none now enacted in this country appear to intend that occupational disease, as we are taking it, shall be compensated. Experience shows, however, that those statutes using the expression "injury" without the defining phrase "by accident" or its equivalent, are susceptible of interpretation favoring occupational disease claims.

The states and territories having such statutes at the present time are California, Connecticut, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Ohio, Texas, and West Virginia, with statutes making no reference to disease; and Iowa and Wyoming specifying the exclusion of disease except as it shall result from an *injury* incurred in the employment. Michigan also falls in the class of the seven first named, but it is a singular fact that though the Michigan law is almost identical with that of Massachusetts, the Supreme Court of Michigan has definitely declared against occupational disease compensation while the highest tribunal of Massachusetts takes the equally positive stand that disease if truly occupational and likely to arise from the employment is an injury and compensatable. In the law of the United States, also, reference is only to injury.

The statutes of Hawaii, Indiana, Maryland, Montana, Nebraska, New York (as re-enacted), Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Vermont and Washington have in effect been rather more closely drawn to admit only such disease as results from accidental injury; and no more liberal interpretation seems likely of the compensation laws of Alaska, Arizona, Colorado, Illinois, Kansas, Louisiana, Maine, Minnesota, Nevada, New Jersey, Oregon, Rhode Island and Wisconsin, which specify accidental injury but make no reference to disease.

No stronger statute reference adverse to occupational disease compensation appears than in the laws of Washington and Montana: "The words injury or injured, as used in this act, refer only to an injury resulting from some fortuitous event as distinguished from the contraction of disease." Nebraska most clearly defines its position as follows: "The word 'accident' as used in this act shall . . . be construed to mean an unexpected or unforeseen event, happening suddenly and violently . . . and producing at the time

210 COMPENSATION COST OF OCCUPATIONAL DISEASE.

objective symptoms of an injury. The terms 'injury' and 'personal' injuries shall mean only violence to the physical structure of the body and such disease or infection as naturally results therefrom. The said terms shall in no case be construed to include occupational disease in any form, or any contagious or infectious disease contracted during the course of employment, or death due to natural causes but occurring while the workman is at work."

The simplest immediate solution approaching equity seems to be the plan that has been in operation in the United Kingdom for ten years, of specifying diseases which shall be deemed as occupational, and compensating them just as accidents are compensated, in accordance with the degree of impairment of earning capacity. The list, as it has been since 1908, except for cataract in glassworkers and telegraphist's cramp brought under the act in December of that year, and writer's cramp first compensated in 1913, is that shown in the second table of this paper. Until effect of occupation upon health has been very much more carefully studied jointly by physicians, engineers and statisticians, it is doubtful whether any practical plan can be devised of closely approaching equitable compensation of all occupational disease. The proposed supplement to the New Jersey act, though designed "to extend the application of the statute to such diseases as can reasonably be diagnosed as due to the occupation"-the first definite American step in this direction—is therefore wisely drawn to cover only specified diseases, fourteen in number, the list being manifestly patterned after the British list of twenty-five, with the notable omission of the mine diseases nystagmus (oscillation of the eye-ball), cellulitis (miner's "beat hand," "beat knee"), bursitis ("beat elbow") and inflammation of the wrist joint, and the addition of anilin poisoning and wood-alcohol poisoning.

The purpose of the present discussion, however, is rather to consider the problem of the compensation of all occupational disease.

For cost figures we are compelled to look to British experience, the only available cost data of any extent being those of the United Kingdom, which are here summarized in Table I from the annual reports of the British Board of Trade for the seven years 1908-1914. The most satisfactory American occupational disease statistics appear to be those gathered for Ohio in 1913-14 by the Ohio State Board of Health Survey* of Industrial Health-hazards and

*Reviewed in Proceedings, Vol. II, pages 139-142.

Occupational Diseases under the direction of Dr. E. R. Hayhurst. These furnish no direct basis of estimate of cost of occupational disease, but they have been found very useful as an index of American occupational diseases and as a gauge of their incidence in comparisons with other statistics. Reference has also been made to the results of other state investigations, to the United States Labor Bureau Bulletins, and to the authoritative works of Sir Thomas Oliver and Dr. W. Gilman Thompson; and I am permitted to quote from the results of a preliminary study made in subcommittee of the recent Joint Conference on Workmen's Compensation Rates in New York City.

Table I brings together the cost figures for seven years of British compensation of the diseases listed in Table II, and shows the numbers of cases by industries and the amounts paid throughout the seven years. Many cases run over into other years and in the cost compilations have been counted once for each year in which payments were made. The numbers as increased by these repetitions are stated in the columns which have been given the designation "Payment Years." The ratios of the numbers of payment years and actual amounts paid, to the corresponding accident compensation figures are indicated as percentages in adjoining columns.

In the cost comparisons that we shall make, the first assumption is that the ratios in this table, or in a corresponding table of total incurred expense, would have applied in any American state, on any benefit scale, had American statutes specified compensation for the twenty-five diseases on the British list, and had the incidence of those diseases (as compared with accident) and the numerical distribution of laborers among the industries been the same in both countries.

Mine diseases of the eye and skin, however, for which four-fifths of British disease compensation was paid, anthrax, contracted in the handling of hides and wool imported from oriental countries, and phosphorus poisoning in the match industry, apparently exist to only a very limited extent in America. An investigation in England and Wales showed that in mines where lamps giving onequarter candle-power were used, two per cent. of the men had nystagmus, while only four-tenths of one per cent. showed symptoms where the lamps were of one-half candle-power. The disease was unknown or very rare among men who worked with open-flame lamps or candles, but was common among those using safety lamps

TABLE I.

DISEASE COMPENSATION, UNITED KINGDOM, 1908-1914 INCLUSIVE, AND COMPARISON WITH CORRESPONDING FIGURES FOR ACCIDENT COMPENSATION. (Compiled from the published annual reports of the British Board of Trade.)

	Exposure.	Rel.		Fatal.					al.	Total.						
Industry.	Years of Work.	dustry, %.	Cases.	% of Acdt.	Amount Paid.	% of Acdt.	Cases.	Paym t Years.	% of Acdt.	Amount Paid.	% of Acdt.	Cases.	Paym't Years.	% of Acdt.	Amount Paid.	% of Acdt.
Shipping Factories Cotton Wool, worsted.	1,737,822 35,831,039 4,090,795	3.5 71.1 8.1	226		£ 36,271	<u>3.</u> 76	1 3,300 17	1 4,465 20	.00 .37 .03	£ 48 92,119 170	$.01 \\ 1.38 \\ .04$	$1\\3,526\\17$	$^{1}_{4,691}_{20}$.00 .40 .03	£ 48 128,390 170	.00 1.68 .04
shoddy Other textiles Wood Metal extraction	$\substack{1,983,686\\1,693,298\\1,032,292\\2,846,987}$	3.9 3.4 2.0 5.7	$ \begin{array}{c} 19 \\ 2 \\ 5 \\ 36 \end{array} $	$12.75 \\ 2.15 \\ 1.81 \\ 3.20$	2,560 125 786 5,811	$16.15 \\ 1.17 \\ 2.13 \\ 3.38$	$135 \\ 17 \\ 39 \\ 491$	$156 \\ 17 \\ 47 \\ 669$.76 .09 .13 .31	1,526 110 1,507 16,777	$1.14 \\ .11 \\ .47 \\ 1.55$	$154 \\ 19 \\ 44 \\ 527$	175 19 52 705	.85 .10 .14 .32	4,086 235 2,293 22,588	$\begin{array}{c c} 2.70 \\ .20 \\ .64 \\ 1.81 \end{array}$
Other metal work	2,007,631 5,670,875	4.0 11.3	12 28	.99 2.73	$1,722 \\ 4,012$.94 2.67	187 541	244 669	.14 .26	5,495 12,778	.48 1.01	$199 \\ 569$	256 697	.14 .27	7,217 16,790	.54 1.18
ing. China and earth-	2,220,565	4.4	7	4.29	1,045	5.15	110	$\begin{array}{c} 124 \\ 844 \end{array}$.43 9.83	$2,280 \\ 24,121$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.12\\ 50.42\end{array}$	$117 \\ 457$	131 904	.45 10.45	3,325 34,338	1.49 60.94
enware Miscellaneous Docks. Mines. Quarries. Construction	$\begin{array}{r} 464,593\\13,280,317\\890,178\\7,411,749\\611,583\\720,245\\220,245\\\end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c c} 0.9 \\ 27.4 \\ 1.8 \\ 14.7 \\ 1.2 \\ 1.4 \\ 0.9 \\ $		92.31 2.39 .60 .06 .18 .27	$ \begin{array}{r} 10,217 \\ 9,993 \\ 1,169 \\ 956 \\ 230 \\ 321 \\ 0.167 \\ \end{array} $	120.10 3.01 .57 .06 .31 .35	397 1,366 57 25,418 12 30	1,675 64 35,583 15 47	.51 .06 3.01 .04 .10	27,355 1,354 514,568 209 1,299 2,572	$1.44 \\ .18 \\ 8.60 \\ .09 \\ .37 \\ 45$	1,423 65 25,424 13 32 107	1,732 72 35,589 16 49	.52 .07 2.99 .04 .10	37,348 2,523 515,524 439 1,620 5,723	$1.67 \\ .26 \\ 6.71 \\ .14 \\ .36 \\ 40$
Clerical staff Other employees.	3,177,272 493,556 2,683,716	6.3 1.0 5.3	$\frac{10}{10}$.34 	2,167	.50 	97 2 95	140 6 134	1.45 0.08	3,570 208 3,368	.45 9.44 .42	$ \begin{array}{r} 107 \\ 2 \\ 105 \end{array} $	150 6 144	.09 1.37 .09	5,743 208 5,535	.40 3.16 .45
Totals	50,379,888	100.0	253	.99	£41,114	1.03	28,915	40,315	1.46	£613,173	3.97	29,168	40,568	1.46	£654,287	3.36

TABLE II.

SEPARATE CASES OF INDUSTRIAL DISEASE FOR WHICH COMPENSATION WAS PAID-UNITED KINGDOM, 1908-1914 INCLUSIVE.

				Rail	ways.						Factori	es.			····		l E	
Disease.	Total.	Mines.	Quarries	Clerical.	Other.	Cotton.	Wool, Worsted, Shoddy.	Other Textiles.	Wood.	Metal Extrac- tion.	Englae, Ship Bullding.	Other Metal Work.	Paper and Printing.	China and Earthen- Ware.	Miscel- Isneous.	Docks.	Contructi	Shipping
Anthrax. Lead poisoning. Mercury poisoning. Phosphorus poisoning. Arsenic poisoning. Hookworm. Benzine poisoning. Carbon-bisulphid poisoning. Nitrous fume poisoning. Nitrous fume poisoning. African boxwood poisoning. African boxwood poisoning. Chrome ulceration. Epitheliomatous cancer. Scrotal epithelioma. Nystagmus. Glanders. Compressed air illness. Subcutaneous cellulitis, hand. Subcutaneous cellulitis, knee. Acute bursitis, elbow. Inflammation synovial lining of wrist joint and tendon sheaths. Cataract in glassworkers Telegraphist's cramp. Writer's cramp (1913, 1914).	$\begin{array}{c} 233\\ 2,674\\ 40\\ 2\\ 46\\ 37\\ 153\\ 5\\ 22\\ -\\ -\\ 1\\ 206\\ 253\\ 63\\ 10\\ 9,901\\ 1\\ 17\\ 5,283\\ 8,456\\ 755\\ 995\\ 11\\ 2\\ 2\\ 2\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} - \\ 15 \\ 1 \\ -21 \\ 37 \\ - \\ 11 \\ - \\ 11 \\ 37 \\ - \\ - \\ 11 \\ 34 \\ 9,898 \\ - \\ 5,228 \\ 8,452 \\ 755 \\ 986 \\ - \\ - \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ \end{array}$			95 					$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2 5333 5 1 - - 1 19 1 - 1 19 1 - - 1 1 -			$\begin{array}{c} 62\\ 697\\ 32\\ 2\\ 18\\ -150\\ 3\\ 9\\ -\\ 177\\ 52\\ 1\\ -\\ 1\\ $		23	
Total. Fatal. Non-fatal.	$29,168 \\ 253 \\ 28,915$	25,424 6 25,418	$13 \\ 1 \\ 12$	2 2	105 10 95	17 17	$154 \\ 19 \\ 135$	$19 \\ 2 \\ 17$	44 5 39	527 36 491	$199 \\ 12 \\ 187$	$569 \\ 28 \\ 541$	117 7 110	457 60 397	1,423 57 1,366	65 8 57	$32 \\ 2 \\ 30$	$\frac{1}{1}$

COMPENSATION COST OF OCCUPATIONAL DISEASE.

which give only about one-fifth candle-power with their gauze clogged with coal dust and soot as it is in ordinary use. The prevalence of the disease in Britain and its absence in America is therefore probably to be accounted for in an effective---though perhaps not great-difference in illumination. British coal miners apparently work with little machine help-and 95 per cent. of Brittsh miners are coal miners. In America, machines are extensively used, a fact that doubtless has an important bearing on the low reported extent of cellulitis, etc., in this country. Of anthrax, Dr. Thompson says "at present the disease is so much of a rarity in the United States that it is a surprise to find that in eight states it is included in the list of the six reportable occupational diseases, but this is accounted for by the fact that the first American reporting laws were copied almost verbatim from the British." Dr. Hayhurst says of reported cases in Ohio, "A little investigation of these instances brought us to the conclusion that none were authentic." \mathbf{It} seems therefore that the American incidence of anthrax and of the mine diseases other than nystagmus cannot be over one-quarter of that in the United Kingdom, and that five per cent, is an ample estimate of the relative incidence of nystagmus.

Aside from the "British mine diseases," the health-hazard by far predominating in both countries is lead poisoning. Referring to conditions in 1910-1911, Dr. Alice Hamilton states in U.S. Labor Bureau Bulletin No. 104 that sanitary regulation had so far reduced the lead hazard in British potteries, that there were in that country at that time, notwithstanding the higher wages and better living conditions in America, only one-fourth as many lead poisoning cases there as here among equal numbers of pottery workers. It is probably reasonable to assume correspondingly improved regulation of the lead hazard in the other British industries, and similar reduction as well of the poison hazards of arsenic, benzine, mercury, etc. On the other hand, by the time compensation for occupational disease may have become regularly prescribed by statute in this country, we may confidently expect that American safeguards against these hazards will have improved with experience and growth of sentiment, to the extent that but two American cases will occur instead of four, in the industrial exposure that results in one British case.

An exact division of cost between what may be termed the "American" and the "non-American" diseases in the British data

is impossible for the reason that the cost figures at hand are not given by diseases. It will be noted, however, from Table II. that in several industries lead poisoning constitutes all but a small part of the disease hazard; in others anthrax predominates; while the mine diseases are prominent only in mining. The figures themselves therefore-with proper allowance for outstanding payments -yield fair average cost values of several of the diseases. It can be shown that except in the smallest industries the completed cost of compensation of the disease claims incurred in any industry during the seven years reported may be expected to be approximately seven times the average sum paid annually in the last two or three years. The results of such estimates are given in the first cost column of Table III. The average cost of a lead-poisoning case comes out at about £50, and of an anthrax case, about £30. It is worth noting in passing that cases ascribed to lead in the china and earthenware industry are evidently quite generally rendered much more severe by accompanying affections, most likely respiratory, as they show an average cost twice the corresponding figure from other industries. Division of cost within the group of principal mine diseases was of necessity by judgment, guided by some statistical information as to relative duration and severity of these cases, and by actual cost figures in the meager experience from quarries.

The following relative cost factors were finally assumed as sufficient for the elimination of the part of the British diseases considered as non-American: lead, 3; pottery "lead," 6; nystagmus and compressed air, 4; anthrax, mercury, phosphorus, arsenic, benzine, carbon bisulphid, nitrous fumes, cancer, glanders, telegrapher's cramp and writer's cramp, 2; and the others, 1; and the result of the elimination is shown, by comparison of the immediate footings of the third and fourth columns of Table III, to cut down the ratio of disease cost to accident cost from 4.6 per cent. to a little over 1 per cent., the estimated total incurred accident cost of the seven years being £22,315,000 (seven times the average annual actual payments of the last three years). As the relative number of lead and other occupational poisonings is expected to be doubled under American conditions, the ratio becomes about 1.9 per cent. This figure assumes the same distribution of workers in both countries in the industries studied. The remaining columns of the table exhibit the calculation of the modification of the factor on the basis

TABLE III.

DISEASE COST BY INDUSTRIES AND COMPARISON WITH ACCIDENT COST.

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	High British Disease Cost. Seven Yang High British Disease Seven Yang British Disease Non- American Seven Years' Seven Yang British Disease Non- Complete Seven Yang Seven Years' Diseases Diseases Diseases Diseases Diseases British Disease Bilininated. Non- American Seven Years' Diseases Diseases Diseases Diseases Diseases British Diseases Diseases Bilininated. 3.5% £ 48 1.0% 0.1 20% 202 10.0 1.40									
Industry. $\frac{1}{4}$ S $\frac{2}{5}$ S $\frac{3}{5}$ SystemEstimated Complete Seven Years'Non- American Diseases Eliminated.Non- American Diseases Eliminated. $\frac{1}{4}$ S $\frac{3}{5}$ S $\frac{3}{5}$ S $\frac{3}{5}$ S $\frac{3}{5}$ S $\frac{3}{5}$ S $\frac{3}{5}$ S $\frac{3}{5}$ S $\frac{3}{5}$ 	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $									
Industry. $\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $									
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c} 3.5\% \\ \hline 3.5\% \\ \hline \pounds \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Seven Years'} \\ \hline \text{Claims.} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Diseases} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Claims.} \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Diseases} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Claims.} \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Claims.} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Diseases} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Claims.} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Claims.} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Claims.} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Diseases} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Claims.} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Claims.} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Diseases} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\$									
Shipping 3.5% £ 48 £ 48 1.0% 0.29 £ 1.0% Factories 3.5% £ 48 £ 48 1.0% 0.29 £ 1.0% Factories 0.20 £ 1.48 44 Wool, worsted 3.9 $6,000$ $2,400$ 14.5 3.72 $8,92$ Other textiles. 3.4 235 104 3.6 1.06 11 Wood 2.0 $2,740$ $2,740$ 3.5 1.75 $4,76$ Metal extraction 5.7 $25,100$ $24,970$ 0.9 0.16 $3,99$ Engine and 4.0 $8,470$ $8,430$ 0.9 0.23 $1,932$ Other metalwork 11.3 $20,800$ $20,660$ 13.4 1.19 $24,582$ Paper and and and and and and and and earthenware 0.9 $49,100$ $49,000$ 0.2 0.22 $10,782$	Eliminated. $\frac{1}{5} \frac{5}{6} \frac{5}{4}$ $\frac{1}{8} \frac{5}{6} \frac{5}{6}$ $\frac{1}{8} \frac{5}{6} \frac{5}{6} \frac{5}{6}$ $\frac{1}{8} \frac{5}{6} \frac{5}{6} \frac{5}{6}$ $\frac{1}{8} \frac{5}{6} 5$									
Shipping. 3.5% £48£481.0%0.29£1Factories8.132730312.01.4844Wool, worsted shoddy. 3.9 6,0002,40014.53.728,92Other textiles. 3.4 2351043.61.0611Wood2.02,7402,7403.51.754,72Metal extraction5.725,10024,9700.90.163,99Engine and ship bldg4.08,4708,4300.90.231,93Other metal work.11.320,80020,66013.41.1924,58Paper and printing4.43,3203,3206.81.555,14China and earthenware0.949,10049,0000.20.2210,78Micellencours9.7449,10049,0000.20.2210,78	3.5% £ 48 £ 48 1.0% 0.29 £ 14 0.1 207 202 19.0 1.48 440									
Factories Cotton8.1 327 303 12.0 1.48 44 Wool, worsted shoddy 3.9 $6,000$ $2,400$ 14.5 3.72 $8,99$ Other textiles. 3.4 235 104 3.6 1.06 11 Wood 2.0 $2,740$ $2,740$ 3.5 1.75 $4,76$ Metal extraction 5.7 $25,100$ $24,970$ 0.9 0.16 $3,99$ Engine and ship bldg 4.0 $8,470$ $8,430$ 0.9 0.23 $1,93$ Other metal work 11.3 $20,800$ $20,660$ 13.4 1.19 $24,58$ Paper and earthenware 0.9 $49,100$ $49,000$ 0.2 0.22 $10,78$ Miscillenoware 0.9 $49,100$ $49,000$ 0.2 0.21 $10,78$										
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $										
Wool, worsted shoddy 3.9 $6,000$ $2,400$ 14.5 3.72 $8,92$ Other textiles. 3.4 235 104 3.6 1.06 11 Wood 2.0 $2,740$ $2,740$ 3.5 1.75 $4,75$ Metal extraction 5.7 $25,100$ $24,970$ 0.9 0.16 $3,99$ Engine and ship bldg 4.0 $8,470$ $8,430$ 0.9 0.23 $1,93$ Other metal work 11.3 $20,800$ $20,660$ 13.4 1.19 $24,58$ Paper and printing 4.4 $3,320$ $3,320$ 6.8 1.55 $5,14$ China and earthenware 0.9 $49,100$ $49,000$ 0.2 0.22 $10,78$ Miscillencours 27.4 $49,100$ $49,000$ 0.2 0.21 $10,78$	8.1 327 303 12.0 1.48 448									
shoddy 3.9 $6,000$ $2,400$ 14.3 3.72 $8,92$ Other textiles. 3.4 235 104 3.6 1.06 11 Wood 2.0 $2,740$ $2,740$ 3.5 1.75 $4,75$ Metal extraction 5.7 $25,100$ $24,970$ 0.9 0.16 3.99 Engine and ship bldg 4.0 $8,470$ $8,430$ 0.9 0.23 $1,92$ Other metal work 0.11 $20,800$ $20,660$ 13.4 1.19 $24,58$ Paper and printing 4.4 $3,320$ $3,320$ 6.8 1.55 $5,14$ China and earthenware 0.9 $49,100$ $49,000$ 0.2 0.22 $10,78$ Miscillencours 0.74 $49,500$ $42,000$ 0.2 0.22 $10,78$										
Other textures.3.42331043.0110Wood \dots 2.0 $2,740$ $2,740$ 3.5 1.75 $4,75$ Metal extraction \dots 5.7 $25,100$ $24,970$ 0.9 0.16 $3,99$ Engine and ship bldg 4.0 $8,470$ $8,430$ 0.9 0.23 $1,99$ Other metal work \dots 11.3 $20,800$ $20,660$ 13.4 1.19 $24,58$ Paper and printing \dots 4.4 $3,320$ $3,320$ 6.8 1.55 $5,14$ China and earthenware 0.9 $49,100$ $49,000$ 0.2 0.22 $10,78$ Miscillar course 0.74 $49,100$ $49,000$ 0.2 0.22 $10,78$	3.9 0,000 2,400 14.5 3.72 8,928									
Metal extraction2.02.1402.1401.154.170Metal extraction5.725,10024,9700.90.163,99Engine and ship bldg4.08,4708,4300.90.231,99Other metal work11.320,80020,66013.41.1924,58Paper and printing4.43,3203,3206.81.555,14China and earthenware0.949,10049,0000.20.2210,78Miscillaneous0.7449,50042,50020,02210,78	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
Ation 5.7 25,100 24,970 0.9 0.16 3,99 Engine and ship bldg 4.0 8,470 8,430 0.9 0.23 1,92 Other metal work 11.3 20,800 20,660 13.4 1.19 24,58 Paper and printing 4.4 3,320 3,320 6.8 1.55 5,14 China and earthenware 0.9 49,100 49,000 0.2 0.22 10,78 Miscillar could 97.4 49,500 42,500 20.22 10,78	2.0 2,140 2,140 0.0 1.10 4,150									
Engine and ship bldg 4.0 8,470 8,430 0.9 0.23 1,95 Other metal work 11.3 20,800 20,660 13.4 1.19 24,55 Paper and printing 4.4 3,320 3,320 6.8 1.55 5,14 China and earthenware 0.9 49,100 49,000 0.2 0.22 10,75	5.7 25,100 24,970 0.9 0.16 3,995									
ship bldg 4.0 8,470 8,430 0.9 0.23 1,93 Other metal work 11.3 20,800 20,660 13.4 1.19 24,55 Paper and printing 4.4 3,320 3,320 6.8 1.55 5,14 China and earthenware 0.9 49,100 49,000 0.2 0.22 10,75 Misciller course 27.4 49,500 42,500 20,02 10,75										
Other metal work 11.3 20,800 20,660 13.4 1.19 24,58 Paper and printing 4.4 3,320 3,320 6.8 1.55 5,14 China and earthenware 0.9 49,100 49,000 0.2 0.22 10,78 Miscillar could be an and earthen ware 0.74 49,100 49,000 0.2 0.22 10,78	4.0 8,470 8,430 0.9 0.23 1,939									
work 11.3 20,800 20,660 13.4 1.19 24,88 Paper and printing 4.4 3,320 3,320 6.8 1.55 5,14 China and earthenware 0.9 49,100 49,000 0.2 0.22 10,78 Miscillamous 0.74 49,500 42,500 10,78 10,78										
Faper and printing 4.4 $3,320$ $3,320$ 6.8 1.55 $5,14$ China and earthenware 0.9 $49,100$ $49,000$ 0.2 0.22 $10,78$ Miscillaneous 0.74 $42,500$ $42,000$ 0.2 0.22 $10,78$	11.3 20,800 20,000 13.4 1.19 24,585									
China and earthenware 0.9 49,100 49,000 0.2 0.22 10,75 Mission 0.7 42,500 42,000 0.2 0.22 10,75	44 3 320 3 320 6 8 1 55 5 146									
earthenware 0.9 49,100 49,000 0.2 0.22 10,78										
$M_{invollomound} = 97.4$ $i = 49' 100 = 49' 000 = 90.9$ $i = 07 = 44' 0.4$	0.9 49,100 49,000 0.2 0.22 10,780									
-144,94	27.4 43,500 42,000 29.2 1.07 44,940									
Docks 1.8 2,690 1,600 0.4 0.22 35	1.8 2,690 1,600 0.4 0.22 352									
Mines 14.7 850,000 92,600 0.0 0.00	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
Quarries 1.2 439 103 0.2 0.17 1 Construction 1.4 2100 2100 0.0 6.42 1250	1.2 439 103 0.2 0.17 181.4 9.100 9.100 0.0 6.42 12.502									
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.4 2,100 2,100 9.0 0.43 13,505									
Clerical staff 1.0 340 340 0.0 0.00	1.0 340 340 0.0 0.00 0									
Other em-										
ployees 5.3 8,160 7,970 4.4 0.83 6,61	5.3 8,160 7,970 4.4 0.83 6,615									
Totals 100.0% £1,023,369 £258,688 100.0% £126,16	00.0% £1,023,369 £258,688 100.0% £126,168									
Doubled groupt mine disagrees: 425,000 252,00										
Bation disease to accident cost (estimated incurred accident cost seve	ing disages: 125,000 252,000									
vears, £22.315.000): 4.6% 1.9%	ine diseases: 425,000 252,000 accident cost (estimated incurred accident cost seven									
Hence expect in America,	ine diseases: 425,000 252,000 accident cost (estimated incurred accident cost, seven 00): 4.6% 1.9%									
for British industrial distribution: 1007	nine diseases: 425,000 252,000 accident cost (estimated incurred accident cost, seven 00): 4.6% 1.9% America,									
TOT DITUSH INCOSCIENT UISTIDUHOU: 1.970	nine diseases: 425,000 252,000 accident cost (estimated incurred accident cost, seven 00): 4.6% 1.9% America, trial distribution: 1.9%									

of the distribution of industries and workers in Massachusetts, for which state we have data as to accident cost and distribution by classifications. In arriving at the ratio 1.1 per cent., no account has been taken of the fact that compressed air disease, blindness, loss of the use of members from disease as by paralysis from poisons, etc., regularly compensated under accident provision and reflected in "accident" premiums where disease coverage is not

statutory, would under joint accident and disease provision be accounted occupational diseases—and were so reported in the United Kingdom statistics from which we have derived our cost estimates. Adjustment for this fact would reduce the ratio to something below 1 per cent. The total cost of accidents in Massachusetts relative to payroll in the interval July 1, 1912 to September 30, 1913 was estimated at 0.39 of one per cent. 0.0035 of one per cent. of the payroll of the industries studied is therefore proposed as a fair estimate of the probable cost of compensation of the twenty-five British diseases in these industries in Massachusetts.

The distribution of the 1,204 positive cases and 211 tentative cases of occupational disease discovered by the Ohio Board of Health survey among 236,000 workers in that state may be taken as representative of "all occupational disease" in this country. Nearly all these cases apparently came under the personal observation of the investigators, that is, few of the individuals seem to have been disabled to the extent usually regarded as compensatable; and of course the numbers stated are of existing cases of all durations of standing-not of new cases to be expected annually. Applying a system of cost factors, with calcicosis (lime phthisis), compressed air illness, pneumonokoniosis (lung-dust-disease), and tuberculosis at 4, lead poisoning and occupational neurosis at 3. and the others at 2 or 1, and accumulating the products of "positive cases" by cost factors, first through the list of all the diseases, and then for those only which-by other names-would probably be recognized under the British statute, the relative cost of compensation of all occupational disease to that of the diseases specified in the British act is estimated as approximately 1.8 to 1.

Preliminary to the distribution of the total net cost over the industrial classifications in Massachusetts, quite accordant estimates of the relative seriousness of the disease hazards in all the classifications of the Manual were independently made on a scale from 1, no appreciable special disease hazard, to 5, the maximum hazard, by the Engineering and Inspection Divisions of the Massachusetts Employees Insurance Association and The Travelers Insurance Company. About five per cent. of the total payroll under compensation in Massachusetts fell in Class 2, and about two per cent. in Classes 3, 4 and 5. Of the ninety-odd classifications rated 3, 4 or 5, about half show payroll in Massachusetts. These were studied first, and the disease premiums for Class 2 were then esti-

mated by proper comparisons with those for Classes 3, 4 and 5. The initial procedure was the careful consideration, with joint engineering and medical advice, of, first, the diseases to which the workmen under each of the classifications in the three more healthhazardous classes were industrially exposed, second, the severity, in "compensation measure," of the average case if contracted, and third, the probability of contraction of such a case. Statistics, though usually altogether inadequate, were fully considered where available, and in the end a fairly satisfactory idea of the relative cost of occupational disease per \$100 payroll for the various classifications was arrived at.

To illustrate, a preliminary value of 13 cents per \$100 payroll, as the total occupational disease cost for iron smelting, was obtained as follows:

			Nori	nal Ca	3e.	4.,	2022	
Disease.	Cause.	Medical (W.W).	Weeks Disability.	Ratio Fatal Cases.	* Av. Cost k (W.W.).	Prob. of Co traction, a	Prob. Anul Cost per \$1 Payroll, 100	
Gas poisoning	Carbon monoxid, metallic fumes	1	2	.03	6.3	.002	\$ 024	
Digestion, cramps.	Overheating.	î	3	.02	5.2	.002	.020	
Eye	Heat, glare, fumes	1	2		1.0	.0005	.001	
Kidney	Fumes	1	3	.01	3.5	.0005	.007	
Rheumatism	Temperature change	1	6	1	3.7	.003	.021	
Lungs, throat, etc.	Temperature, moisture,	1	ł	ł		ł	{	
	dust	1	8	.04	12.1	.002	.046	
Heart, arteries	Over-exertion	1	8	.03	10.3	.0005	.010	
Skin	Heat, acid, abrasion	1	2		1.0	0.002	.004	
(Preliminary estimated) pure premium, all occupational disease								

By similar analysis, 9 cents was assigned to lime manufacture, 11 cents to finishing textiles—bleacheries, and so on through the list rated 3, 4 and 5. After extending the list through Class 2 by comparison, all the preliminary premiums were multiplied into the Massachusetts payrolls and the amounts totalled, with a result 0.007 of one per cent. of the aggregate payroll of the state, or twice the estimated cost of compensation of the twenty-five specified diseases in Massachusetts, and according to the other assumptions of

* Basis, present Massachusetts Act; average cost fatal case about 178 weeks' wages, and disability after two weeks compensated at rate of twothirds wages.

·		···-		
Manual Classifications Code.	Process.	Occ. Dis. Hazard Rating.	Pure Prem, for Occ. Dis.	Industrial Health-Hazards.
0100, 1	Tree pruning, spraying, fumigating, etc.	3	4c	Potassium cyanid, prus- ic acid, arsenate of lead, vitriol.
1412	Gold refining (no ore re- duction)	2	3	Sulphuric acid fumes, heat, mercury, lead,
1421, 3	Iron smelting	3	6	potassium cyanid. Carbon monoxid, fumes, heat, moisture, temper- ature change, fatigue,
1466	Graphite mfg.	2	3	Dust, heat, temperature
1652	Lime mfg.	3	4	Line dust, fumes, irri- tants of eyes and skin,
1700 1704 1741	Adamant plaster mfg. Stone crushing Emery crushing, grind-	$2 \\ 2$	$\frac{3}{2}$	Dust.
1740	ing	2	3	Dust.
1743 1745	Soapstone mfg.	$\frac{2}{2}$	3 1	Dust.
1780	Emery, carborundum wheel mfg.	2	3	Dust, heat, temperature
2080	Stock yards Slaughtermen	2	2	Infection.
2081	Packing houses	2^2	$\frac{2}{2}$	Heat, dampness, steam, solder.
2171	Cigar, cigarette mfg	2	2	Duct fations
2175	Tobacco mfgsnuff	2	2	Dust.
2200, 3 2410	ing, carbonizing	2	4	Dust, fumes, anthrax.
2410	rubber	3	3	Carbon disulphid, sulphur chlorid, benzine, anti- mony, lead, wood al- cohol, naphtha, mer-
2411	Waterproofing cloth-	2	1	cury. Fumes.
2413	Textile dyeing, finish- ing, printing-new			
	gooda	2	3	Dyestuffs, potassium cya- nid.
$\begin{array}{c} 2414 \\ 2430 \end{array}$	Bleacheries Oil cloth mfg	3	6	Chlorine, hypochlorites.
2431	Linoleum, cork carpet mfg.	2	3	Dust, fumes, lead, irri-
2440	Wool separation.	2	4	tants. Dust, fumes, anthrax.

TABLE IV.

220

Manual Classifications Code.	Process.	Occ. Dis. Hazard Rating.	Pure Prem. for Occ. Dis.	Industrial Health-Hazards.
2530	Hat mfg.—felt	5	9c	Mercury, fur dust, steam heat, shellac, wood al- cohol, grease, carbon monoxid, emery, sand-
25802	Laundries	2	2	senic, dyestuffs. Heat, dampness, fatigue,
2583	Dyeing, cleaning	2	3	Dyestuffs, benzine, am-
2600	Fur mfgpreparing skins	3	9	Lime, arsenic, mercury dyes, fur dust, infec- tion anthray
2610 2620	Degreasing skins Leather mfg.—enamel	$\frac{2}{2}$	4 4	Benzine, anthrax. Heat, anilins, amyl ace- tate fumes.
2621 2622	Morocco dressing Leather dressing (n.o.c.)	$\frac{2}{2}$	4 4	Heat, fumes. Heat, fumes, chrome, ani-
2623	Tanning	3	7	Lime, lead, dust, naphtha, amyl acetate, chrome,
2624 2940 2941 3083	Curriers Lead pencil mfg. Crayon mfg. Foundries (n.o.c.)	$egin{array}{c} 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{array}$	$5 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4$	Dust, anthrax. Dust, anthrax. Dust, pigments. Heat, fumes, dust, glare,
3084 3085	Foundries-bell Foundries-brass	$\frac{2}{3}$	4 6	dampness. Heat, fumes, glare. Lead poisoning, fumes, heat, dampness, tem-
3120-2	Razor, cutlery mfg.	2	4	Dust, lead, potassium cy-
3302	Bedstead mfg.—metal	3	7	Lead, dust, wood alcohol, benzine, amvl acetate.
3312, 3	Copper, zinc goods mfg. (no smelting, rolling)	2	4	Copper, arsenic, lead, an-
3331 3334 3335 3337	Lead works—sheet, pipe, shot (no smelting) Tinfoil mfg. Babbitt metal mfg. Columnizing timing	$\begin{array}{c} 4\\ 2\\ 2\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 20\\4\\7\end{array}$	Lead, arsenic, antimony. Lead. Lead, heat.
3360-3	sheet metal	3	3	Acid fumes.
3370, 2	cutting, welding Plating	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 2\end{array}$	4 3	Actinic rays, cyanids. Lead, mercury, acid, fumes, benzine, potas- sium cyanid.
3631	Machine shops—with foundry	2	4	(See foundries)
3640	Storage battery mfg. from lead plates	4	18	Lead, acid fumes.

TABLE IV.—Continued.

Manual Classifications Code.	Process.	Occ. Dis. Hazard Rating.	Pure Prem. for Occ. Dis.	Industrial Health-Hazards.
3641	Storage battery mfg.			
	plates	2	2c	Fumes.
3642	Dry battery mfg.	2	4	Dust, fumes, benzol,
3683	Thermometer mfg.	3	8	Mercury.
3087	mfg.	2	3	Mercury, cyanids, vana- dium, potassium per-
3688	Photographic films, dry plates	2	4	Mercury, cyanids, fumes, nitrocellulose.
4014 4030, 1	Potteries, earthenware mfg.—tiling, gas re- torts, sewer pipes	4	18	Dust, heat, dampness,
4052	Earthenware mfg			iead pigments, surphur.
4100, 10	objects Glass mfg.	$\frac{3}{2}$	$12 \\ 5$	Dust, pigments. Heat, light, lead, dust of
4111	Bottle mfgno ma-			gauss, entry, Bandpaper.
4113	chine blowing Glass mfg.—cut	2 3	6 6	Heat, light, blowing. Lead, dust, hydrofluoric acid.
4131	Mirror mfg.—no glass mfg.	3	8	Mercury, acid fumes.
4133	Cathedral, art, stained glass mfg.	2	6	Fumes of turpentine, amyl acetate, wood al-
4150 2 3	Optical goods everlage			cohol, benzine, lead poisoning, chrome.
4005	glass eye mfg.	2	3	Dust, chrome.
4205	Pulp mig.—sulphite	2	4	fume, sulphuric acid, fumes, moisture, dye- stuffs.
4278	Fly paper mfg. (no paper mfg.)	3	5	Formaldehvd, fumes.
4301	Wall paper mfgde- signing, printing, etc.	3	15	Arsonic soid fumos
10.50	(no paper mg.)	0	10	chrome, anilins.
4350 4360	Electrotyping Motion picture—film de-	2	4	Lead, arsenic, dust, acids.
4400	velopment Rubber reclaiming	$\begin{array}{c}2\\2\end{array}$	3 4	(See photography) Benzine, naphtha, gaso-
4410 4432	Rubber goods mfg.	2	5	Carbon disulphid, sulphur chlorid, lead, naphtha,
4440	Celluloid mfg.	2	2	benzine, wood alcohol, mercury, acids. Nitrocellulose, dust, fumes.

TABLE IV.—Continued.

Manual Classifications Code.	Process.	Oce. Dis. Hazard Rating.	Pure Prem. for Occ. Dis.	Industrial Health-Hazards.
4500.0	Dahim mandan sada hi			
4500, 2	baking powder, soua-oi-	•		
	carbonate mig.	Z	2c	Carbon dioxid.
4510	Acid mfg. (n.o.c.)	3	10	Fumes, hydrocyanic, hy-
				drochloric, hydrofluor-
				ic. nitric. etc.
4511	Analytical chemists	2	4	Various chemicals.
4520	Alcohol acetic acid mfg	2	2	Fumes lime
4501	Ammonia mfg	จึ	6	Furnes
4041	Disinfactant mfm	Ă	19	Chloring formaldehud
4040	Distinectant mig.	Ŧ	13	Chiorine, formatoenyu,
	a	~		suipnur, carbolic acia,
4524	Chemical mfg. (n.o.c.)	3	12	Ammonia, benzol, bro- min, carbon bisulphid, chlorin, iodin, nitrous
				gases carbolic acid etc
4597	Bleaching powder mfg	4	15	Chlorin lime
1041	Create mfr	, i	10	Europa
4040	Creosote mig.	4	1 4	rumes.
4530	Campnor mig.	z	3	rumes.
4551	White lead mfg.	5	22	Lead.
4553	Anilin, alizarin mfg.	2	4	Wood alcohol, methyl bromid, methyl iodid,
4554	Color mfg.—dry	2	4	Anilins, ammonia, sul-
4557	Ink mfg printing	2	4	Pyrogallic acid, tanning.
4558	Paint mfr	-	-	1 Ji ogunio word, warring,
4000	mfg.	3	16	Lead, chrome, antimony,
4560	Whiting mfg	9		Dust
4500	Vani-L mfa	5	4	Americanic mond clockel
4001	varmsn mig.	2	4	turpentine.
4580	Fertilizer mfg.	2	3	Bone dust, phosphates, nitric, nitrous, sulphur- ic, hydrochloric, hydro- fluoric and other acids, benzine, infection.
4590-2	Blackings, polishes	2	2	Dust. fumes.
4601	Drug mfg	2	Ā	Compounds alkaloids
1001	Ling mg.	-	1 ~	oto
4602 4606	Essential oils mfg. Perfumery flavoring	2	4	Vapors.
1000	mfg.	2	4	Dimethyl sulphate, essen-
4607	Pharmacontists	9		Chemicals and drugs
4630	Aerated, mineral water	2	$\frac{4}{2}$	Carbon dioxid.
4633	Carbonic acid gas mfg	3	10	Fumes
4634	Oxygen, hydrogen mfg.	2	2	Carbon monoxid, chlorid
4651 3	Glue, mucilage mfg	2	2	Fumes, dust, infection
4714	Soan nowder wfg	1 5	1 5	Chlorin dust
4740	Oil refining	้ถึ	Ĩ	Carbon higulnhid fumor
4740	Tan mfa (no color com	4	1 [±]	Carbon Disciplind, rullies.
4/41	operation)	3	12	Phenol, fumes, sulphuric acid.
		·	~ ~ ~	

TABLE IV.—Continued.

Manual Classifications Code.	Process.	Occ. Dis. Hazard Rating.	Pure Prem. for Occ. Dis.	Industrial Health-Hazards.
4762 4763	Cartridge mfg. Acetylene gas tank char-	2	4c	Brass.
5461	tion	3	7	Fumes.
0101	terior	2	9	Lead, turpentine, wood alcohol, benzine, naph- tha.
5462	Glaziers (away from shop)	3	8	Lead.
5490	Painting, decorating, in- terior (away from			
	shop)	3	14	Lead, dust from sand- papering, dampness, turpentine, wood alco- hol, benzine, naphtha.
6250, 3	Caisson work	5	10	Compressed air, carbon dioxid.
6254 6300	Subway tunneling Sewer bldg.	2 3	5 5	Carbon dioxid. Carbon dioxid, devital- ized air
7206 7500	Towel, etc. distributing	$\frac{2}{2}$	$\frac{2}{2}$	Infection.
7585	Sewer cleaning	3	12	sulphuretted hydrogen. Carbon doxid, sulphuret-
7590	Garbage works	2	2	Infection.
8100, 5	Hide, leather dealers	2	2	Infection.
8200	Paper stock, rag dealers	2	2	Infection.
8801	Hospital employees	2	$\frac{2}{2}$	Infection.
9210	rumigation of bldgs,	3	6	dehyd and potassium permanganate sulphur
9501	Painting, shop only	3	17	Lead, dust, fumes, damp-
9502	Sign painting, lettering, interior	3	9	As compared with ordi-
9504	Framelling (no metal			nary painting, less lead in paint; less turpen- tine, benzine, naphtha in paint; little sand- papering; gloves worn.
9541	working) Sign painting, lettering	4	15	Lead, dust, heat, arsenic.
	exterior	3	7	See sign painting. interior.
9600	Taxidermists	3	6	Arsenic, mercury.
9620	Undertakers	2	4	Formaldehyd.

TABLE IV.-Continued.

this paper, just about the expected cost of compensation of all occupational disease in that state. The preliminary relative pure premiums have been cut in two, however, as presented in Table IV, for the reason that with our present inadequate knowledge of the tuberculosis hazard, for example, as affecting office clerks, iron smelters, textile workers, etc., a flat charge of one per cent. of the average accident pure premium, or about 0.004 of one per cent. of the payroll, would perhaps better be levied in addition to the premium quoted in the table.

The results of this paper are proposed as applying not only in Massachusetts, but in any other American state. The premiums for classifications under which there was no issue in Massachusetts have not at the present time been assigned. These and others that may be required in any state may be estimated quite satisfactorily by comparison with the premiums given in the table to classifications known to have comparable disease hazards.

Compensation of all occupational disease will probably not become statutory for some time to come. The premiums in Table IV are submitted, therefore, as representing the more likely cost for those specified diseases which will probably first be written into the statutes.

BIBLIOGRAPHY,

OLIVER, THOMAS.

- (a) Dangerous Trades. xxiii, 891 p. 1902. Dutton & Co., N. Y.
- (b) Diseases of Occupation. 427 p. 1908. Dutton & Co., N. Y. \$3.00.

THOMPSON, W. GILMAN.

- (a) The Occupational Diseases. xxvi, 724 p. 1914. Appleton, N. Y. \$6.00.
- (b) The Occupational Diseases of Modern Life. 16 p. (Read before the annual meeting of the Cumberland County Medical Society, Portland, Me., Dec. 8, 1911.)
- (c) Résumé of the Importance and Prevalence of the Occupational Diseases. 7 p. (Reprinted from Medical Record, William Wood & Co., N. Y., Feb. 3, 1912.)
- (d) Occupational Diseases in New York. 7 p. (Reprinted from the Transactions of the Fifteenth International Congress on Hygiene and Demography. Sept., 1912.)
- (e) The Industrial Diseases: their Importance and Methods of Study. 10 p. (Read before the Academies of Medicine in Buffalo and in Rochester, Apr. 8 and 9, 1913.)
- (f) Occupational Diseases. 7 p. (Reprinted from Medical Record, William Wood & Co., N. Y., Jan. 31, 1914.)

ILLINOIS.

Commission Report on Occupational Diseases. 219 p. 1911. Warner Printing Company, Chicago. RAMBOUSEK, J. (Translation by T. M. LEGGE.)

Industrial Poisoning. 1913. Edwin Arnold, London. \$3.50. GOLDMARK, JOSEPHINE.

Fatigue and Efficiency. 890 p. 1912. Russell Sage Foundation. \$3.50.

LAWES, E. T. H.

Compensation for Industrial Diseases. 306 p. 1909. Stevens & Sons, London.

ANDREWS, J. B.

Occupational Disease Compensation; Some Recent Verdicts. (Survey, July 18, 1914.)

JOURNAL OF INDUSTRIAL AND ENGINEERING CHEMISTRY.

Symposium on Ventilation. Mar., 1914.

HAYHURST, EMERY R.

- (a) Industrial Health-Hazards and Occupational Diseases in Ohio.
 xviii, 438 p. 1915. (Issued by Ohio State Board of Health, Columbus, Ohio.)
- (b) Occupational Brass Poisoning. 11 p. (Reprinted from Transactions of Chicago Pathological Society, Vol. VIII, June, 1911. Press of American Medical Association, Chicago.)
- (c) Occupational Brass Poisoning: Brass-Founder's Ague. (American Journal of the Medical Sciences, Vol. CXLV, pp. 723-738, May, 1913.)
- (d) Critical Examination of One Hundred Painters for Evidences of Lead Poisoning. (*Ibid.*, Vol. CXLVII, pp. 788-803, June, 1914.)
- (e) The Significance of Occupational Diseases and Their Classification.
 10 p. (Reprinted from Ohio State Board of Health, Monthly Bulletin, June, 1913.)
- (f) The Span of Life in Some Occupations in the State of Ohio. 6 p. (*Ibid.*, July, 1913.)
- (g) Consumption and Preventable Deaths in American Occupations.
 10 p. (Ibid., July, August, 1913.)
- (h) Occupational Diseases in Their Relation to Rural Districts. 9 p. (*Ibid.*, September, 1913.)
- (i) Methods and Besults of Medical School Inspection in a Cosmopolitan District of 12,000 Inhabitants. 13 p. (*Ibid.*, November, 1913.)
- (j) Occupational Diseases as They Are Found in Rural Districts. 9 p. (*Ibid.*, December, 1913.)
- (k) The Health Hazards of Industries with Special Reference to Ohio.
 10 p. (*Ibid.*, April, 1914.)
- (1) Lead Poisoning—Its Chief Causes, with Observations on Its Diagnosis and Prevention. 12 p. (*Ibid.*, May, 1914.)
- (m) The Prevalence of Occupational Factors in Disease and Suggestions for Their Elimination. 13 p. (Reprinted from American Journal of Public Health, Vol. 5, pp. 538-550, 1914. Boston.)

HAYHURST, EMERY R. AND SCOTT, ERNEST. Report on the Investigation of Four Cases of Sudden Death which took Place at the Athens (Ohio) State Hospital. 15 p. (Reprinted from Ohio State Board of Health Monthly Bulletin, October, 1914.)

OHIO STATE BOARD OF HEALTH.

- (a) Industrial Poisons. 33 p. (Reprinted, 1914, from U. S. Bureau of Labor Bulletin, No. 100, May, 1912, with an index of industries.)
- (b) Laws of Ohio Relating to Occupational Diseases and Industrial Hygiene. 30 p. Nov. 1, 1913.
- FRANKEL, LEE K.
 - Occupational Hygiene. 15 p. (Read before the Detroit Conference, Niagara Falls, Sept. 4, 1913.)
- DUBLIN, LOUIS I.
 - The Reporting of Disease—the Next Step in Life Conservation. 15 p. (Address before Association of Life Insurance Presidents, June 5, 1914.)

PEARSON, ROBERT H.

Occupational Diseases. 32 p. Published by Weekly Underwriter, New York, 1915.

TOLMAN, W. H. AND KENDALL, L. B.

Safety. Methods for Preventing Occupational and Other Accidents and Disease. xii, 433 p. 1913. Harper, New York.

- PRICE, GEO. M.
- Modern Factory. 574 p. 1914. John Wiley & Sons. \$4.00. MCMURRAY, SAMUEL.

Miners' Nystagmus. (Colliery Guardian, London, Oct. 9, 1914.) GREAT BRITAIN.

- (a) Industrial Poisoning, Accidents and Dangerous Occurrences during 1913. (Board of Trade, Cd. 7309, 1914.)
- (b) Occupational Diseases in Factories and Workshops. (Discussed monthly in Board of Trade Labor Gazette, London.)
- (c) Report of Departmental Committee Appointed to Investigate the Danger Attendant on the Use of Paints Containing Lead. 134
 p. (Cd. 7882. Report Nov. 1914, published May 5, 1915.)

GREAT BRITAIN AND IRELAND.

Order Extending the Workmen's Compensation Act. (Interior Labour Office. Bulletin, Vol. IX, pp. 23-24, 1914.)

UNITED STATES BUREAU OF LABOR BULLETIN.

- No. 75, Mar., 1908. Industrial Hygiene. By Geo. M. Kober.
- No. 79, Nov., 1908. Mortality from Consumption in Dusty Trades. By F. L. Hoffman.
- No. 82, May, 1909. Mortality from Consumption in Occupations Exposing to Municipal and General Organic Dust. By F. L. Hoffman.
- No. 86, Jan., 1910. Phosphorus Poisoning in the Match Industry in the United States. By J. B. Andrews.
 - List of Industrial Poisons. By Th. Sommerfeld, Thomas Oliver and Felix Putzeys.

- No. 95, July, 1911. Industrial Lead Poisoning in Great Britain and the Western States of Europe. By Thomas Oliver.
 White Lead Industry in the United States. By Alice Hamilton.
 Deaths from Industrial Lead Poisoning in New York State in 1909 and 1910. By J. B. Andrews.
- No. 100, May, 1912. List of Industrial Poisons. By Th. Sommerfeld and R. Fischer, translated by Wm. H. Rand.
- No. 101, July, 1912. Care of Tuberculous Wage Earners in Germany. By F. L. Hoffman.
- No. 104, Aug., 1912. Lead Poisoning in Potteries, Tile Works, and Porcelain Enameled Sanitary Ware Factories. By Alice Hamilton.
- No. 120, May, 1913. Hygiene of the Painters' Trade. By Alice Hamilton.
- No. 127, Aug., 1913. Dangers to Workers from Dusts and Fumes and Methods of Protection. By William C. Hanson.
- No. 141, Feb., 1914. Lead Poisoning in the Smelting and Refining of Lead. By Alice Hamilton.
- No. 165, Dec., 1914. Lead Poisoning in the Manufacture of Storage Batteries. By Alice Hamilton.
- No. 179, Oct., 1915. Industrial Poisons Used in the Rubber Industry. By Alice Hamilton.

UNITED STATES BUREAU OF MINES.

Pulmonary Disease among Miners in the Joplin District, Missouri, and its Relation to Rock Dust in the Mines: a Preliminary Report. 47 p. 1915.