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#### Abstract

Principal component analysis is employed to construct a new formula defining 'sports cars', a classification variable commonly used by Belgian insurers in motor insurance. Five hundred and eighty-one different car models were used in the design of the formula. It is based solely on the cars' technical characteristics and hence does not rely on the subjective opinion of experts; the resulting classification is independent of units of measurement employed. Thus the definition is suitable for application world-wide.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Belgian automobile market is wide open to competition. There is no 'Belgian car', and the country is small and boasts an excellent network of motorways. It is not difficult for a foreign car manufacturer to enter the Belgian market. The cost of establishing a chain of dealers and workshops is modest. Moreover, foreigners do not have to confront established domestic manufacturers as would be the case in, say, France or the US. As a result, Belgian consumers have the privilege of being able to choose among no less than 581 different models.

For the insurers, there is a marked drawback in having so many different car models in such a small market, shared by over 100 companies: claim statistics for car models are unreliable. Until a few months ago, companies were not even required to provide statistics by car model to the Automobile Statistics Commission of the Professional Union of Insurance Companies. Hence such data are for the moment totally unavailable, and other variables than 'car model' need to be used for tariff purposes.

In establishing their rates, Belgian insurers have always used a variable called 'vehicles of a sporting nature', i.e. sports cars. The statutory tariff for third-party
liability penalizes sports cars brought on to the road before 1 July 1971 by a supplement of $40 \%$ on top of the basic premium for business use. In other lines (fire, theft, collision, etc.) there is no statutory tariff. Nevertheless, most companies treat sports cars in a special fashion. For instance, the underwriting standards for sports cars in one large company are as follows:
in collision coverage, to require the largest available deductible and apply a surcharge of $40 \%$, and in theft insurance, to require an electronic security system.

By definition, a vehicle is said to be of a sporting nature iff

$$
\frac{W}{P} \sqrt[3]{S} \sqrt[4]{\mathrm{cc}}<17
$$

where
$W$ is the weight of the car, in kilograms, $P$ is the power of the engine, in DIN horse-power, $S$ is the number of seats, and cc is the engine cubic capacity, in litres.

This formula was devised in 1971 by a well-known Belgian Grand Prix driver (it is also used in rally and endurance racing, to subdivide the competitors into classes). Clearly, it is not exempt from criticisms:
(i) it is extremely sensitive to the number of seats, a variable which is not well defined;
(ii) it does not take into account the recent technological evolution in the construction of engines (introduction of turbos, improvement in diesel engines, LPG, ...);
(iii) the use of third and fourth roots has no physical justification;
(iv) as the formula classifies all vehicles into two categories only, awkward border-line cases were bound to arise. For instance, a BMW 528I is of a sporting nature, while the more expensive and slightly heavier BMW 728I is not. Yet both cars have identical engines! This lead to bittersweet discussions with the importer, who claimed that his customers could avoid the surcharge by always carrying a heavy bag in their trunks!

In an article entitled 'Fraud', a leading specialized automobile journal presented six car models (Audi 80 GTE, VW Golf GTI two and four doors, VW Jetta GT two and four doors, VW Scirocco GTX), with the same engine, power, cubic capacity and number of seats. Due to slight weight differences, four of the models are of a sporting nature, two are not. A VW JETTA with two doors is a sports car, while the four door version is not!

It is obvious that the formula has its flaws. Moreover, the classification 'sports car' is itself a questionable notion. After all, sports cars are not dangerous per se; those who buy and drive them are the risks. Thus 'sports car' is at best a proxy
variable for 'driver who behaves aggressively'. In fact, the objective is to characterize the 'sports car driver' by the vehicle s/he is likely to buy. In spite of all of this, the claim statistics presented in Table 1 show that 'vehicle of a sporting nature' remains a highly significant variable.

These statistics persuaded the Automobile Technical Commission of the Professional Union of Insurance Companies to appoint a study group, composed of actuaries, engineers and practitioners, to establish a new formula. The initial conclusions of the study group were as follows:

1. The formula should be of the multiplicative type, for technical reasons (e.g. the engineers of the group felt that the variable 'weight/power' makes more sense than any linear combination of 'weight' and 'power');
2. It should only include well-defined parameters. This requirement led to the deletion of variables like 'top speed' or 'minimum time to reach $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, for which there is no international standard of measure: the values provided by the manufacturers depend on weather and road conditions, on the type of tyres, ... The selected parameters were
the power of the engine, in kw din;
the weight of the car, in kg ;
the cubic capacity of the engine, in cc;
the maximum torque (couple), in Nm din;
the maximum engine speed, in rounds per minute.
3. The construction of the formula should if possible be 'expert-free'; in other words, the classification of a given vehicle should only depend on objectively measurable performance standards, and not on a necessarily subjective evaluation by an expert. One consequence is that the new

TABLE I
Claim Statistics for Ordinary and Sports Cars from a Major Company

| Ordinary cars |  |  | Sports cars |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Claim <br> frequency | Average claim <br> amount (BF) | Year | Claim <br> frequency | Average claim <br> amount (BF) |
| 0.124 | 42,352 | 1976 | 0.134 | 228,057 |
| 0.127 | 46,841 | 1977 | 0.157 | 32,252 |
| 0.121 | 48,328 | 1978 | 0.127 | 420,430 |
| 0.119 | 54,375 | 1979 | 0.142 | 280,216 |
| 0.109 | 63,026 | 1980 | 0.106 | 44,594 |
| 0.105 | 73,141 | 1981 | 0.141 | 36,094 |
| 0.098 | 79,299 | 1982 | 0.132 | 39,412 |
| 0.096 | 93,349 | 1984 | 0.132 | $1,990,334$ |
| 0.098 | 105,280 | 1985 | 0.144 | 30,346 |
| 0.111 | 92,796 | Average $1981 / 1985$ | 0.170 | 230,777 |
| 0.102 | 88,662 | Average $1976 / 1985$ | 0.143 | 440,952 |
| 0.111 | 69,980 |  |  |  |

definition will consider only technical characteristics of car; no attempt is made to consider manufacturers' 'images' on consumers' minds.

All the models registered on 1 January 1986, 581 in total, were compiled in a data base (where several slightly differing variants of a model are marketed, only one was considered). Five models (the three Bentleys and the two Rolls Royces) had to be eliminated, since the importer did not disclose the values taken by several variables. Since there are only 96 Bentleys and 221 Rolls Royces in Belgium, these deletions are insignificant. Noteworthy is the near-absence of American models: the high US dollar, near its peak in January 1986, priced USmanufactured cars out of reach of most Belgian motorists. It should also be noted that all car models received an equal weighting in the statistical analysis: a technical formula defining sports cars should of course not depend on market shares. Analyses performed on sub-groups of cars led essentially to the same formula, which appears to be extremely robust as regards the models in the data base.

## 2. STATISTICAL RESULTS

The statistical method used was principal component analysis, performed on the logarithms of the variables so as to linearize multiplicative formulae.

Principal component analysis is a multivariate technique whose main purpose is to derive a small number of linear combinations (principal components) of a set of variables that retain as much of the information in the original variables as possible. It aims at reducing the number of variables necessary to describe the data, while losing the smallest possible amount of information: very often a small number of principal components can be used in place of the original variables. Given a data set with $p$ numeric variables, $p$ principal components may be computed; each one is a linear combination of the original variables with coefficients equal to the eigenvectors (customarily taken with unit norm) of the correlation matrix. The principal components are sorted by descending order of the eigenvalues, which are equal to the variances of the components. Principal components have a variety of useful properties:

The eigenvectors are orthogonal; so the principal components represent jointly perpendicular directions through the space of the original variables.
The principal component scores are jointly uncorrelated.
The first principal component has the largest variance of any unit-length linear combination of the observed variables. The jth principal component has the largest variance of any unit-length linear combination orthogonal to the first $j-1$ principal components. The last principal component has the smallest variance of any linear combination of the original variables.
The first $j$ principal components are the best linear predictors of the original variables among all possible sets of $j$ variables.
The five following variables were used in the analysis, after standardization in order to eliminate the influence of measurement units.
$x_{1}=\log$ (weight/power),
$x_{2}=\log$ (power/cubic capacity),
$x_{3}=\log$ (maximum torque),
$x_{4}=\log$ (maximum engine speed),
$x_{5}=\log$ (cubic capacity).
Table 2 shows the high correlations between the variables.
The main results of the principal component analysis are summarized in Table 3.

Thus, $\mathbf{9 2 . 8 6 \%}$ of the total variance is explained by the first two components, whose interpretation can easily be obtained by their correlation with the original variables, top speed and minimum time to reach $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.

The correlations with the first principal component (see Table 4) indicate that, the higher the score of a car model on the axis, the faster it can go (correlation: 0.96 ), the shorter the time necessary to reach $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}(-0.96)$, the lower its weight/power ratio ( -0.97 ), the higher its maximum torque ( 0.88 ) and its specific power (power/cubic capacity; 0.80 ).

The correlations with the second principal component indicate that the higher the score of a car model on this axis, the higher its engine speed (correlation: 0.78) and its specific power ( 0.51 ) but the smaller its cubic capacity ( -0.68 ) and its maximum torque $(-0.46)$. This axis is roughly orthogonal to the time to reach $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}(-0.06)$, the weight-power ratio $(-0.08)$ and the top speed $(-0.16)$.

Clearly, the first principal component characterizes the sporting nature of a model, while the second component describes the technical characteristics that lead to it; for instance, small, fast, 'nervous' cars (Golf GTI, Peugeot 205

TABLE 2
Correlations Between Variables

|  | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $x_{1}$ |  | -0.8165 | -0.7960 | -0.5146 |
| $x_{2}$ |  | 0.4779 | 0.6911 | -0.6090 |
| $x_{3}$ |  |  | 0.0835 | 0.1545 |
| $x_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 3
Principal Componfnt Analysis - Main Results

| Principal <br> components | Eigenvalues | Variances <br> (proportion) | Cumulative <br> variances <br> (proportion) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3.0960 | 0.6192 | 0.6192 |
| 2 | 1.5468 | 0.3094 | 0.9286 |
| 3 | 0.2766 | 0.0553 | 0.9839 |
| 4 | 0.0675 | 0.0135 | 0.9974 |
| 5 | 0.0132 | 0.0026 | 1.0000 |

TABLE 4
Correlations Between Principal Components and Variables

| Variables | Axis 1 | Axis 2 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $x_{1}$ | -0.9714 | -0.0800 |
| $x_{2}$ | 0.7962 | 0.5136 |
| $x_{3}$ | 0.8789 | -0.4569 |
| $x_{4}$ | 0.5022 | 0.7796 |
| $x_{3}$ | 0.7026 | -0.6783 |
| $x_{6}$ | 0.9561 | -0.1597 |
| $(=\log ($ top speed $))$ | -0.9567 | -0.0610 |
| $x_{7}$ |  |  |

GT1, ...) score high on both axes, while large, powerful cars (Mercedes 560 SEL, Citroën CX GTI Turbo, ...) score high on the first axis but low on the second. Most of the lower performing cars and the majority of diesels score low on both axes.

Since the first principal component characterizes the sporting nature of any car, it is only natural to define the new formula along the axis, using the eigenvector coefficients.

The formula is

$$
F=-1.7326 x_{1}+1.8671 x_{2}+1.1889 x_{3}+2.7410 x_{4}+1.1164 x_{5} .
$$

As intuitively expected, there does not exist a clear-cut separation between sports cars and ordinary cars, but rather a continuum of models. It was then decided to partition the models into 10 classes, according to the deciles of the distribution. Class boundaries are as follows

| Class |  | $F \leqslant$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | $F \leqslant$ | 24.6786 |
|  |  | $F \leqslant$ |  |
| 2 | $24.6786<$ | $F \leqslant$ | 25.4644 |
| 3 | $25.4644<$ | $F \leqslant$ | 25.9273 |
| 4 | $25.9273<$ | $F \leqslant$ | 26.4425 |
| 5 | $26.4425<$ | $F \leqslant$ | 26.8888 |
| 6 | $26.8888<$ | $F \leqslant$ | 27.3174 |
| 7 | $27.3174<$ | $F \leqslant$ | 27.8669 |
| 8 | $27.8669<$ | $F \leqslant$ | 28.5168 |
| 9 | $28.5168<$ | $F \leqslant$ | 29.3679 |
| 10 | $29.3679<$ | $F \leqslant$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |

The classification does not depend on the units of measurement; if, for instance, HP din are used instead of kW din, the class boundaries will need to be modified, but each model will remain in the same class.

Part of the classification is to be found in the Appendix.

TABLE 5
Classification of all Models: Old and New Formula

| Class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (new formula) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |

## 3. COMPARISON WITH OLD FORMULA

Table 5 compares the results given by the old and new formulae; for instance, the first sports car (as defined by the old formula) appears in class 6 of the new definition. Seven models which were formerly not considered as sports cars are now assigned to class 10 .

Figure 1 shows the position of all models along the two axes. Sports cars (according to the old formula) are indicated by a ' + ', ordinary cars are shown by a ' $O$ '. Thus the old formula provided some degree of consistency in that it selected the upper-right part of the figure: most of the sports cars, according to the old formula, are to be found above a diagonal straight line. Clearly the deviser of the 1971 formula sought to combine technical characteristics with the external aspect of the car: small, 'zippy' cars were classified as sports cars; on the other hand large, powerful cars (with the same power but a less 'appealing look') were not.

## 4. SHOULD ENGINEERS BE TRUSTED?

The old formula selects as sports cars the models which fall into the upper-right part of Figure 1, above the diagonal. The new formula proceeds by horizontal lines. This difference results from the study group's decision to use only the technical characteristics of the models in the definition: this is the major assumption behind the entire analysis.

Yet the people who buy fast cars are not necessarily engineers. Are they influenced purely by technical considerations in their selection process, or do they also base their decision on external aspects of the cars?

To provide a tentative answer to this key question, four people (two experts and the two top executives of the automobile department of a large company) were independently asked to classify all 581 models according to their own feelings. They were provided with photographs and characteristics of all cars, but with no other instructions than to classify the models into seven (for experts) or four (for executives) categories. Their decisions were then plotted on Figure 1 to check whether horizontal or diagonal lines would emerge. The results are presented in Figure 2 (where for reasons of legibility models are subdivided into three categories only).

With few inconsistencies, they clearly favour the new formula, as class boundaries tend to form horizontals rather than diagonals.

Note: The problem of classifying car models for insurance tariff purposes is of course not new. In the recent past, authors have used cluster analysis and credibility theory to improve the quality of the experts' subjective decisions: the most relevant references are CAMPBELL (1986) and Sundt (1987). It seems worthwhile to stress again the main difference between most approaches and the one used here: we only use the models' technical characteristics; no use is made of claim statistics, for the simple reason that they are not available in our country.


Figure 1. Representation of all cars in main plane
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Figure 2. Classification by four people
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## APPENDIX: A SELECTION OF CAR MODELS

For the sake of brevity, only 100 car models are listed. They are subdivided according to the new formula, 10 in each class. The table is to read as follows.

Column 1: manufacturer,
2: car type,
3: car model,
4: cubic capacity (cc),
5: maximum power ( kW din),
6: maximum torque ( Nm din),
7: number of seats,
8: car weight ( kg ),
9: maximum engine speed ( $\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{min}$ ),
10: time taken to reach $100 \mathrm{~km} /$ (seconds),
11: top speed (km/h)
12: classification according to the old formula ( $0=$ ordinary; $1=$ sports).

| 1 | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | Daihatsu | Charade | TSDT | 993 | 37 | 91 | 5 | 705 | 4800 |  | 150 | 0 |
| $L$ | Ford | Escort | Custom | 1117 | 37 | 83 | 5 | 790 | 5000 | 17.2 | 144 | 0 |
| $A$ | Innocenti | S | SLSE | 993 | 38 | 75 | 5 | 670 | 5600 | 17.4 | 145 | 0 |
| $S$ | Lada | 1200 | Economyn | 1198 | 44 | 87 | 5 | 970 | 5600 |  | 140 | 0 |
| $S$ | Peugeot | 205 | XE1.0 | 954 | 33 | 69 | 5 | 785 | 6000 | 18.8 | 134 | 0 |
|  | Skoda | Type 130 | LLXGLS | 1289 | 43 | 103 | 5 | 885 | 5000 | 15.0 | 150 | 0 |
| 2 | Talbot | Samba | Lssympahia | 1124 | 37 | 82 | 4 | 740 | 4800 | 18.2 | 143 | 0 |
|  | Volkswagen | Jetta | C/C/GL | 1595 | 55 | 125 | 5 | 900 | 5000 | 17.2 | 149 | 0 |
|  | Volkswagen | Passatvant | C/CL | 1297 | 44 | 100 | 5 | 985 | 5600 | 17.5 | 148 | 0 |
|  | Volkswagen | Polo | CoachC/CL | 1043 | 33 | 74 | 5 | 730 | 5600 | 19.5 | 142 | 0 |
| $C$ | Audi | 80 | Base | 1588 | 40 | 100 | 5 | 980 | 4800 | 15.5 | 151 | 0 |
| $L$ | Ford | Sierra | Berline | 1593 | 55 | 121 | 5 | 1030 | 4900 | 14.1 | 165 | 0 |
| A | Mercedes | SerieW124 | D250 | 2437 | 66 | 154 | 5 | 1320 | 4600 | 16.2 | 175 | 0 |
| $S$ | Mitsubishi | Lancer | Break 18GLD | 1796 | 60 | 108 | 5 | 1025 | 4500 |  | 145 | 0 |
| $S$ | Nissan | Cherry | 1.3DX1.SAR | 1270 | 44 | 100 | 5 | 785 | 5600 | 14.4 | 155 | 0 |
|  | Opel | Record | LSGLGLS3TD | 2260 | 63 | 189 | 5 | 1245 | 4200 | 15.0 | 168 | 0 |
| 3 | Rover | SD2 | 2400S | 2393 | 68 | 193 | 5 | 1475 | 4200 | 16.1 | 165 | 0 |
|  | Seat | Ibiza | 1.2L | 1193 | 46 | 88 | 5 | 900 | 5800 | 16.0 | 155 | 0 |
|  | Toyota | Starlet | 1000L | 999 | 40 | 75 | 5 | 780 | 6000 |  | 150 | 0 |
|  | Zastava | Yugo | 55L55GLS | 1116 | 40 | 80 | 4 | 790 | 6000 |  | 145 | 0 |
| C | Fiat | Regata | 70 C | 1301 | 50 | 100 | 5 | 890 | 5700 | 13.5 | 155 | 0 |
| $L$ | Lada | 2105 | GL | 1452 | 55 | 106 | 5 | 1030 | 5600 |  | 148 | 0 |
| A | Lancia | Prisma | Turbodisel | 1929 | 59 | 172 | 5 | 1015 | 4200 | 16.0 | 158 | 0 |
| $S$ | Mazda | 323 | 1300LX | 1296 | 50 | 95 | 5 | 865 | 6000 | 12.4 | 147 | 0 |
| $S$ | Opel | Corsa 2 | GLSI.3SB | 1297 | 51 | 101 | 5 | 805 | 5800 | 13.0 | 163 | 0 |
|  | Subaru | Coupe | 1.6 GL | 1595 | 54 | 137 | 5 | 990 | 5200 |  | 160 | 0 |
| 4 | Suzuki | Swift | 1.3GA1.3GL | 1324 | 50 | 104 | 5 | 700 | 5300 | 11.7 | 163 | 0 |
|  | Toyota | Cressida | StationLTD | 2466 | 63 | 188 | 5 | 1370 | 5600 | 14.2 | 155 | 0 |
|  | Toyota | Tercel | Station4WD | 1452 | 52 | 108 | 5 | 1000 | 5600 | 15.5 | 155 | 0 |
|  | Volkswagen | Scirocco | GT | 1595 | 55 | 125 | 5 | 875 | 5000 | 12.2 | 167 | 0 |
| $C$ | Alfa Romeo | 33 | 1.3L | 1350 | 58 | 111 | 5 | 890 | 6000 |  | 167 | 0 |
| $L$ | Ford | Fiesta | SGHIA | 1296 | 51 | 100 | 5 | 775 | 6000 | 12.2 | 163 | 0 |
| A | Ford | Sierra | BerlineL | 1796 | 66 | 137 | 5 | 1060 | 5400 | 11.8 | 178 | 0 |
| S | Honda | Civic | 1.3DX | 1342 | 52 | 105 | 5 | 777 | 6000 | 11.5 | 157 | 0 |
| S | Mazda | 929 | 2000Estate | 1970 | 66 | 154 | 6 | 1185 | 5000 | 11.4 | 157 | 0 |
|  | Mercedes | SerieW124 | 300D | 2996 | 80 | 185 | 5 | 1370 | 4600 | 13.7 | 190 | 0 |
| 5 | Mitsubishi | Colt | 1500GLX | 1468 | 55 | 118 | 5 | 820 | 5500 | 12.7 | 160 | 0 |
|  | Peugeot | 305 | GL | 1472 | 54 | 116 | 5 | 995 | 6000 | 13.2 | 156 | 0 |
|  | Toyota | Corolladan | Stop | 1295 | 55 | 107 | 5 | 965 | 6000 | 14.1 | 160 | 0 |
|  | Volvo | 760 | GLEDT | 2383 | 80 | 190 | 5 | 1375 | 4800 | 12.5 | 175 | 0 |
| $c$ | BMW | Serie3 | 316 | 1766 | 66 | 140 | 5 | 990 | 5500 | 12.2 | 175 | 0 |
| $L$ | Citroën | CXBerlines | 20RE | 1995 | 77 | 163 | 5 | 1235 | 5500 | 12.1 | 177 | 0 |
| A | Honda | Accord | 1.6LX | 1588 | 65 | 122 | 5 | 1028 | 6000 | 11.9 | 176 | 0 |
| $S$ | Mercedes | SerieW124 | 200 T | 1997 | 80 | 170 | 5 | 1390 | 5200 | 13.6 | 180 | 0 |
| $S$ | Peugeot | 205 | GT | 1360 | 59 | 110 | 5 | 820 | 5800 | 11.6 | 170 | 0 |
|  | SAAB | 90 | GL | 1985 | 73 | 162 | 5 | 1115 | 5200 | 14.0 | 165 | 0 |
| 6 | Seat | Malaga | 1.5GLGLXS | 1461 | 67 | 116 | 5 | 975 | 5600 | 13.0 | 165 | 0 |
|  | Volvo | 240 | DL | 1986 | 74 | 160 | 5 | 1230 | 5400 | 13.3 | 165 | 0 |
|  | Volvo | 740 | BreakGLETD | 2383 | 80 | 205 | 7 | 1390 | 4800 | 13.5 | 175 | 0 |
|  | Bertone | X1/9 | 1.5 | 1498 | 63 | 118 | 2 | 920 | 6000 | 11.7 | 180 | 1 |


|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C$ | Audi | 100 | Base | 1994 | 85 | 170 | 5 | 1250 | 5200 | 10.7 | 190 | 0 |
| $L$ | BMW | Serie5 | 5181 | 1766 | 77 | 145 | 5 | 1140 | 5800 | 12.6 | 175 | 0 |
| A | Mercedes | SerieW201 | 190 | 1997 | 77 | 170 | 5 | 1080 | 5500 | 12.4 | 185 | 0 |
| $S$ | Opel | Manta | GSI | 1979 | 81 | 162 | 5 | 1065 | 5400 | 10.0 | 192 | 0 |
| $S$ | Renault | R25 | GTX | 2165 | 90 | 181 | 5 | 1285 | 5250 | 10.3 | 195 | 0 |
|  | Saab | 900 | GLI | 1985 | 87 | 167 | 5 | 1140 | 5250 | 12.0 | 175 | 0 |
| 7 | Volkswagen | Passatvant | GT | 1994 | 85 | 165 | 5 | 1105 | 5400 | 10.8 | 182 | 0 |
|  | Ford | Fiesta | XR2 | 1597 | 71 | 132 | 5 | 850 | 6000 | 9.9 | 180 | 1 |
|  | Lancia | Y 10 | Turbo | 1049 | 62 | 123 | 5 | 790 | 5750 | 9.6 | 180 | 1 |
|  | Volkswagen | Sicrocco | GT-GTX | 1781 | 82 | 153 | 5 | 920 | 5200 | 9.1 | 191 | 1 |
| C | Alfa Romeo | 75 | 1.8 | 1779 | 88 | 167 | 5 | 1060 | 5300 | 9.5 | 190 | 0 |
| $L$ | Jaguar | Serie 3 | X163.4 | 3442 | 129 | 255 | 5 | 1770 | 5250 | 9.8 | 188 | 0 |
| A | Mercedes | SerieW124 | 230E | 2299 | 100 | 205 | 5 | 1280 | 5100 | 10.4 | 203 | 0 |
| $S$ | Mercedes | SerieW201 | 190E | 1997 | 90 | 178 | 5 | 1100 | 5100 | 10.5 | 195 | 0 |
| $S$ | Peugeot | 505Berlines | GTI | 2165 | 90 | 189 | 5 | 1280 | 5750 | 10.0 | 183 | 0 |
|  | Volvo | 740 | BreakGLE | 2316 | 96 | 190 | 5 | 1360 | 5400 | 10.5 | 182 | 0 |
| 8 | Citroën | Visa | GTI | 1580 | 76 | 134 | 5 | 870 | 6250 | 9.1 | 188 | 1 |
|  | Fiat | Ritmo | 105TC | 1585 | 77 | 133 | 5 | 905 | 6100 | 9.5 | 180 | 1 |
|  | Fiat | Uno | Turbo | 1301 | 77 | 147 | 5 | 845 | 5750 | 8.3 | 200 | 1 |
|  | Peugeot | 205 | GTI | 1580 | 76 | 132 | 5 | 855 | 6250 | 9.5 | 190 | 1 |
| $C$ | Bitter | SC | Coupe | 2968 | 132 | 248 | 4 | 1560 | 5800 | 9.6 | 215 | 0 |
| $L$ | BMW | Serie7 | 7281 | 2788 | 135 | 240 | 5 | 1510 | 5800 | 9.5 | 201 | 0 |
| A | Citroën | CXBerlines | 25GTITurbo | 2500 | 122 | 290 | 5 | 1385 | 5000 | 8.0 | 220 | 0 |
| $S$ | Volvo | 760 | Gleautoque | 2849 | 115 | 235 | 5 | 1305 | 5700 | 10.5 | 185 | 0 |
| $S$ | Morgan | Plus8 | 2.0LCarbu | 3528 | 116 | 267 | 2 | 940 | 5250 |  | 200 | 1 |
|  | Porsche | 924 | S | 2479 | 110 | 195 | 4 | 1190 | 5800 | 8.5 | 215 | 1 |
| 9 | Porsche | 944 | B | 2479 | 116 | 205 | 4 | 1210 | 5800 | 8.4 | 220 | 1 |
|  | Renault | Alpine | V6 | 2849 | 118 | 221 | 4 | 1140 | 5750 | 8.0 | 235 | 1 |
|  | TVR | 2801 | Converible | 2792 | 110 | 221 | 2 | 1130 | 5700 | 7.8 | 214 | 1 |
|  | Volkswagen | Golfbernes | GTI16V | 1781 | 102 | 168 | 5 | 960 | 6100 | 8.5 | 208 | 1 |
| C | Maserati | Quattro | Porte | 4930 | 205 | 392 | 5 | 1940 | 5600 |  | 230 | 0 |
| $L$ | BMW | Serie5 | 5281 | 2788 | 135 | 240 | 5 | 1300 | 5800 | 8.4 | 215 | , |
| A | De Tomaso | Longchamps |  | 5763 | 206 | 441 | 5 | 1700 | 5600 | 7.0 | 240 | 1 |
| $S$ | Ferrari | 328GTD | 3186 | 3186 | 199 | 304 | 2 | 1375 | 7000 |  | 260 | 1 |
| $S$ | Jaguar | Serie 3 | XJSV12Cupe | 5345 | 217 | 432 | 4 | 1755 | 5500 | 7.6 | 241 | 1 |
|  | Lamborghini | Countachs | Quattroole | 4754 | 335 | 500 | 2 | 1490 | 7000 | 4.8 | 298 | 1 |
| 10 | Lotus | Esprit | S3 | 2174 | 115 | 217 | 2 | 1100 | 6500 | 7.2 | 222 | 1 |
|  | Mercedes | Classe | 560SEL | 5547 | 220 | 455 | 5 | 1810 | 5000 | 7.2 | 245 | 1 |
|  | Porsche | 911Carrera | Turbo | 3299 | 211 | 430 | 4 | 1335 | 5500 | 5.4 | 260 | 1 |
|  | Renault | Alpine | V6Turbo | 2458 | 147 | 285 | 4 | 1210 | 5750 | 7.0 | 250 | 1 |
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